

# New Release 20/11/2019 SFC2014 Front Office 3.1.4

<b>CHANGES:</b> .....	<b>1</b>
RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (EAFRD) .....	1
ACCOUNTS (IGJ) .....	1
ACCOUNTS (IGJ, ETC, IPACBC, EMFF) .....	1
QUARTERLY DECLARATION OF EXPENDITURE (EAFRD) .....	2
RECOVERY ORDER .....	2
<b>DEFECTS FIXED:</b> .....	<b>2</b>
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ) .....	2
COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ETC) .....	2
RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (EAFRD) .....	3
COOPERATION PROGRAMME (IPA-CB) .....	3
NATIONAL PROGRAMME (AMIF, ISF) .....	3
DESIGNATION OF AUTHORITIES .....	3
MAJOR PROJECT NOTIFICATION .....	3
MAJOR PROJECT SUBMISSION .....	3
APPLICATION EGF .....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ALL) .....	4
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD) .....	4
QUARTERLY DECLARATION OF EXPENDITURE .....	4
ACCOUNTS (AMIF) .....	4
FINAL REPORT (EGF) .....	4

## Changes:

### **Rural development programme (EAFRD)**

- RDP EARDF (11447) – The new type of financial instruments 59(4)(h) is now displayed in a column (like the financial instruments under 59(4)(d)); one column for the ‘Rate Applicable to Financial Instrument Under MA Responsibility’ of 59(4)(h). Also, the ‘Financial Instruments Indicative EAFRD Amount’ is now the total for both types of financial instruments (59(4)(d) and 59(4)(h)) and the new type of financial instruments doesn't have a focus area anymore (as is the case for 59(4)(d)).

### **Accounts (IGJ)**

- ACC IGJ, (11856) - "Partially accepted by EC" is now a final status and the Accounts element of the accepted Funds can no longer be modified.

### **Accounts (IGJ, ETC, IPACBC, EMFF)**

- ACC IGJ, ETC, IPACBC, EMFF (11937) - In the Audit Opinion, “Qualified limited” is not possible anymore for the Accounts and for the Legality & Regularity. For those two parts, “Qualified” will always be “significant”.

New validation rules 2.45, 2.46, 2.47 were also added:

- 2.45 *validate in the appendix 1 of the Accounts per Priority Axis that the amount in column "Total amount of eligible expenditure entered into the accounting systems of the certifying authority and which has been included in the payment applications submitted to the Commission" (AccountsExpenditure.totalExpenditureAmount) is not higher than the amount in Column "Total amount of eligible expenditures incurred by beneficiaries and paid in implementing operations" (PaymentApplicationExpenditure.totalExpenditureAmount) of the same Priority Axis of the corresponding last sent or accepted version at EC level of the Final Payment Application of the same Accounting Period (if exists) (by record) (warning)*

- 2.46 validate in the appendix 1 of the Accounts per Priority Axis that the amount in column "Total amount of the corresponding public expenditure incurred in implementing operations" (AccountsExpenditure.publicExpenditureAmount) is not higher than the amount in Column "Total amount of public expenditure incurred in implementing operations" (PaymentApplicationExpenditure.publicExpenditureAmount) of the same Priority Axis of the last sent or accepted version at EC level of the Final Payment Application of the same Accounting Period (if exists) (by record) (warning)
- 2.47 validate in Appendix 1 of the Accounts that the amount in column C "Total amount of corresponding payments made to beneficiaries under Article 132(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013" is not higher than the amount in column B "Total amount of the corresponding public expenditure incurred in implementing operations" (by record) (error)
- ACC IGJ, ETC, IPACBC, EMFF (11858) - Three fields were added to General information for partially accepted accounts for various funds in print version.

### **Quarterly declaration of expenditure (EAFRD)**

- RDP DOE (10997) – There is now a new warning triggered when expenditures are not declared under IACS Budget Codes from previous calendar years, not only the ones for the current/following claim year.
  - 260 - Validate that expenditure are not declared under IACS Budget Codes from previous calendar years. (Warning)

### **Recovery order**

- RO (11933, 11960) - When sending Debit and Credit notes (RCO.DN and RCO.CN), a notification email is send to all users with role coordination body (MSCB) on nodes belonging to the Country of that document. Previously, when no country has been set, the notification was sent to all users with role coordination body of all countries. This was modified and now, when no Country is set, the system do not send any notifications.

### **Defects fixed:**

#### **Operational programme (IGJ)**

- OP IGJ (11922) – S2 – The investment priority edit window was not sized correctly in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.
- OP IGJ (11973-11974) – On table 5 and 13, the edit details window was not properly formatted in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.
- OP IGJ (11975) – On Table 22, the Investment priority dropdown was not properly formatted in 1280x1080 resolution.

#### **Cooperation Programme (ETC)**

- CP ETC (11907) – The description of the priority axes for ERDF shouldn't have been present on S2.A, so the two checkboxes have now been removed.
- CP ETC (11909) –The edit window on table1 wasn't displayed correctly in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.
- CP ETC (11922) – S2 – The investment priority edit window was not sized correctly in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.
- CP ETC (11923) – On table 5, the explanation box of the indicator's relevance was not sized correctly in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.

- CP ETC (11924) – On table 4 the edit window was not sized correctly in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.

### ***Rural development programme (EAFRD)***

- RDP EARDF (11844) – the check box related to article 59(4)(c) in section 10.0 has been disabled as it should not be modified.

### ***Cooperation Programme (IPA-CB)***

- CP IPA (11838) – The key implementation steps in Table 25 was displayed reversed in the print version compared to web version. This has now been fixed.
- CP IPA (11926) – The thematic priority window was not correctly sized in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.
- CP IPA (11927) – The tables 21, 22 and 23 in the edit details window was not correctly sized in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.
- CP IPA (11934) – The table1 was not correctly displayed in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.

### ***National programme (AMIF,ISF)***

- NP AMIF (11876) – The pledging plan values were not displayed correctly in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.

### ***Designation of Authorities***

- DOA (11895) – The Global Grant True or False in the section 'Intermediate bodies' was not described correctly in the application. This has now been fixed by adding check boxes.

### ***Major project notification***

- MPN (11840) – The B Part was missing from the table of content and the print version. This has now been fixed.

### ***Major project submission***

- MPS (11862) – On point C.2, the amounts in the "Amount of aid" box was not displayed correctly in 1280x1024 resolution. This has now been fixed.
- MPS (11867) – When comparing any version of the Major project submission with the first one, the elements of the section G were shown as being modified when they actually weren't. This has now been fixed.
- MPS (11841) – On point E2.3, values of 0 for main parameters 3 and 4 were not displayed in print version. This has now been fixed.

### ***Application EGF***

- APP EGF (11780) – The point "B Context of redundancies" tab was missing from table of content and has now been added.
- APP EGF (Application EGF (11780) – The point "G3 data" was missing from the print version and has now been added.
- APP EGF (Application EGF (11873) – Some elements in the number of targeted beneficiaries were not entirely displayed in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.

### ***Implementation report (ALL)***

- IR ALL (5375) – All objects are now associated with the correct footers and the correct tables of content.

### ***Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)***

- RDP/AIR EARDF (11118) – The Rural development programme taken into account was not correct when there were more than one Rural development programme versions sharing the same decision date (in case of 11c). The Rural development programme taken into account for F1 now is the one with the highest version number.

### ***Quarterly declaration of expenditure***

- RDP/DOE EARDF (11901) – The excel file exported didn't contain all the measures budget codes. This has now been fixed.

### ***Accounts (AMIF)***

- ACC AMIF (11899) - The Validation 2.56 was not taking the right pledging period. This has now been fixed.

### ***Final Report (EGF)***

- FR EGF (11851) - Some boxes were not displaying amounts correctly in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.