New Release 29/03/2016 SFC2014 FrontOffice 2.5.9.1

NEW FUNCTIONALITIES:	
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ETC)	
COMMUNICATION STRATEGY (IPA-CB)	
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD) – ACCEPTANCE ONLY	
CHANGES:	
Operational Programme (IGJ)	
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGI)	
DEFECTS FIXED:	
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ)	3
Major Project - Notification	3
Major Project - Surmission	3
APPLICATION FGF	3
Accounts (AMFISE)	2
PAYMENT APPLICATION	3
. ,	

New Functionalities:

Implementation Report (ETC)

• The Implementation Report for ETC is now available under the Monitoring menu in SFC2014. The Quick Guide is available on the Portal here.

Communication Strategy (IPA-CB)

- The following 2 document types are now available for IPA-CB:
 - Designation of communication officer CPR article 117(1)
 - Designation of person responsible for communication CPR article 117(3)

Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD) – ACCEPTANCE ONLY

The Implementation Report for EAFRD is now available for testing in SFC2014 ACCEPTANCE
 (https://webgate.acceptance.ec.europa.eu/sfc2014/frontoffice/ui) under the Monitoring menu. If you do not have access to this environment, please contact your Liaison officer for SFC2014.

Changes:

Operational Programme (IGJ)

• OP IGJ - When editing Table 5 for a YEI Investment Priority (8ii), the list of Common Output Indicators now contains all ESF Common Output Indicators used by YEI.

Implementation Report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ DOC: Report on the implementation of financial instruments (IR.FI) and Citizens' summary (IR.CIT) are now integral documents.
- IR IGJ Change in validation rule 2.6. It should only be triggered when gender breakdown values exist
 - 2.6 validate in Table 2C when quantitative indicator with measurement unit = Number and when gender breakdown has been provided, that the sum of the annual gender breakdown is equal to the annual total (error).
- IR IGJ Change in validation rule 2.8. Figures reported for Category of Region should only be triggered when figures are not linked to a target.
 - 2.8 validate in Table 2A per Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region that (error):
 - figures reported for CR01 **and not linked to a target** are less or equal to figures for CO03 in Table 4A,
 - figures reported for CR02 **and not linked to a target** are less or equal to the sum of CO01, CO03 and CO05 in Table 4A,

• New Validation rules:

2.13	Validate in Table 2A that the annual values (men and women) are whole numbers.	WARNING
2.14	Validate in Table 2B that the annual values (men and women) are whole numbers.	Warning
2.15	Validate in Table 2C when measurement Unit = 'N', that the annual and cumulative values (total, men and women) are whole numbers.	ERROR
2.16	Validate in Table 4A that the annual values (total, men and women) are whole numbers.	ERROR
2.17	Validate in Table 4B that the annual values (total, men and women) are whole numbers.	ERROR

- IR IGJ Model change for IR IGJ Table 4A. Common Output Indicators CO01 to CO19 have gender breakdown: Input in Men and Women columns. Since Common Indicators CO20 to CO23 have no gender breakdown but only total values without decimals, total columns are now foreseen for Table 4A.
- Grand total of participants has no gender breakdown: Input in Total column and without decimals.
- Since there are no YEI Common Output Indicators, the system will automatically foresee for each non-TA Priority
 Axis/YEI Investment Priority, all Common ESF Output Indicators which are marked to be used by YEI. The Target
 Value(s) are copied from the equivalent records in Tables 5 (same PA/IP/Indicator) of the linked programme.
 There are no YEI TA Priority Axes in the Programme.

Defects fixed:

Operational Programme (IGJ)

PRG IGJ - An error occurred when trying to edit the second table in section S2 Priority axes > Priority axis:X. This
was happening only in programme versions > 1 and when the category of region in previous version was empty
(funds YEI, CF).

Major Project - Notification

MP NOT - Table column list was not properly sorted. In the 'Major Project Notification (Art. 102(1) and 103)' form, under "B.3(c) Timetable"; the "Project Description" description column for the timetable was not sorted chronologically.

Major Project - Submission

- MP SUB In the 'Major Project Submission (Art. 102(2))' form, under "H.1. Project timetable", the table is not being sorted in the right numerical order.
- MP SUB It was impossible for the Member State to "Withdraw" when "Returned for Modification" by EC. MS could only see "Create new version" link and was not able to see "Withdraw" which is also expected WF action.

Application EGF

• For Criterion 4(1)(a) there should be only one primary enterprise. Validation rule 2.24 was checking that there was exactly one enterprise instead of exactly one primary enterprise. The sending was also failing if more than one primary enterprise existed as the system assumed one primary enterprise when creating the EGF title.

Accounts (AMFISF)

- ACC AMFISF An error occurred when sending some accounts.
- ACC AMFISF Payment requested in Account Declaration ISF-B was not re-calculated when a project with payments was removed.
- ACC AMIF Print Management Declaration: The first sentence of the Management Declaration should contain a
 financial year reflecting the accounting year of the Accounts. It was a fixed value 2014 which was not correct.

Payment Application

PAP - Wrong submission date in PAP snapshot reports. The snapshot reports were displaying a wrong submission
date for multilevel members states. It was displaying the date of the first sent action without checking that is a
send to the EC.