

New Release 12/04/2016 SFC2014 FrontOffice 2.5.11.1

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| NEW FUNCTIONALITIES: | 1 |
| N/A | 1 |
| CHANGES: | 1 |
| MAJOR PROJECT NOTIFICATION | 1 |
| ACCOUNTS (FEAD) | 1 |
| ACCOUNTS (IGJ/ETC/EMFF) | 2 |
| IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ) | 2 |
| DEFECTS FIXED: | 3 |
| IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ) | 3 |

New Functionalities:

N/A

Changes:

Major Project Notification

- MP NOT - A different text is now shown in Part C Declaration, when it concerns Article 102(1) or Article 103 (Phased Major Projects).
 - When Article 102(1) it currently reads:

"I confirm that the information presented in this form is accurate and correct.

I confirm that Independent quality review report has not lost its validity dues to any changes relevant to the major project which have occurred between the date of the submission of the final report to the Member State and the date of the notification of the major project to the Commission, which were not addressed in the report."
 - When Article 103 it currently reads:

"I confirm that the information presented in this form is accurate and correct.

I also confirm that the condition mentioned in Article 103 paragraph 1 point d) is fulfilled: there are no substantial changes in the information referred to in the first paragraph of Article 101 of Regulation (EU) of 1303/2013 compared to the information provided for the major project application submitted under Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006, in particular as regards the total eligible cost"

Accounts (FEAD)

- ACC FEAD - New validation rule 2.24
 - 2.24. in Appendix 5 that columns E and F are null or >= 0 (error)

Accounts (IGJ/ETC/EMFF)

- ACC - New validation rule 2.24
 - 2.24. in Appendix 8 that columns E and F are null or ≥ 0 (error)

Implementation Report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ - add total columns for all years for table 4a
- IR IGJ - Extra validation rules on achievement values:
 - 2.13 validate in Table 2A that the annual values (men and women) were whole numbers (=integers) (warning)
 - 2.14 validate in Table 2B that the annual values (men and women) were whole numbers (=integers) (warning)
 - 2.15 validate in Table 2C when measurement Unit='N', that the annual and cumulative values (total, men and women) were whole numbers (=integers) (error)
 - 2.16 validate in Table 4A that the annual values (total, men and women) were whole numbers (=integers) (error)
 - 2.17 validate in Table 4B that the annual values (total, men and women) were whole numbers (=integers) (error)
- IR IGJ - Modify validation rule 2.8 applying different rules for common result indicators with and without a common output indicator as a target. When validating the records in Table 2A a distinction is now done between records having a Common Output Indicator for target setting or not. Therefore validation rule 2.8 was modified as follows:
 - 2.8 validate in Table 2A per Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region that (error):
 - 1 figures reported for CR01 and not linked to a target are less or equal to figures for CO03 in Table 4A,
 - 2 figures reported for CR02 and not linked to a target are less or equal to the sum of CO01, CO03 and CO05 in Table 4A,
 - 3 figures reported for CR03 and not linked to a target are less or equal to the sum of CO01, CO03 and CO05 in Table 4A,
 - 4 figures reported for CR04 and not linked to a target are less or equal to the sum of CO01 and CO03 in Table 4A,
 - 5 figures reported for CR05 and not linked to a target are less or equal to the sum of CO12, CO14, CO15, CO16 and CO17 in Table 4A,
 - 6 figures reported for CR06 and not linked to a target are less or equal to the sum of CO01 and CO03 in Table 4A,
 - 7 figures reported for CR07 and not linked to a target are less or equal to figures for CO05 in Table 4A,
 - 8 figures reported for CR08 and not linked to a target are less or equal to figures for CO08 in Table 4A,
 - 9 figures reported for CR09 and not linked to a target are less or equal to the sum of CO12, CO14, CO15, CO16 and CO17 in Table 4A,
 - 10 figures reported for the common result indicator linked to a target are less or equal to figures of the reference common output indicator reported in Table 4A.

Defects fixed:

Implementation Report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ - Table 4A - It was not possible to update the table when values introduced for common output indicators without gender breakdown or in grand totals were bigger than a certain value.
- IR IGJ - Indicator tables did not show all relevant years. For a 2015 IR, the reporting year and the past years were not always displayed in the Indicator tables. Table 1 and 2C only showed 2014. Tables 3A and 2A only showed 2015. 2B YEI didn't show all years.