New Release 03/06/2019 SFC2014 Front Office 2.18.13

CHANGES:	
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB) IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ)	
DEFECTS FIXED:	2
MAJOR PROJECT NOTIFICATION (ART.102(1) AND 103)	2
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ)	2
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IPA-CB)	2
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EMFF)	
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD)	2
Accounts (IGJ.ETC)	
ACCOUNTS (AMIF,ISF)	3
REPORTS	3

Changes:

Implementation report (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB)

- IR IGJ ETC IPACB (11215) The validation rule 2.62 was changed as the 'Date of signature of first works contract' should not be mandatory anymore in case of status "4- Planned for notification/submission to EC":
 - 2.62 validate that Table 12 / 7 on Major Projects contains all Major Projects (based on CCI) linked to this Programme and that based on the "Status of MP" the following fields are not null (Error):
 - * when "4 Planned for notification/submission to EC": Project, Status of MP, Total investments, Total eligible costs, Planned notification/submission date, Planned start of implementation, Planned completion date, Priority axis/investment priority, Current state of realization financial progress (%), Current state of realization physical progress, Main outputs.

Implementation report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ (11141) The validation rule 2.8.8 was changed to a warning:
 - 2.8 validate in Table 2A per Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region that (error unless otherwise stated):
 - 8. cumulative figures reported for CR08 and not linked to a target are less or equal to cumulative figures for CO08 in Table 4A
- IR IGJ (11141) The validation rules 2.8.19 and 2.8.20 were changed as they do not always work as expected because the Member States sometimes introduce cumulative values in annual fields. Therefore the rules were modified as follows:
 - 2.8.19 for AIRs >= 2018, the cumulative figures of the reporting year reported for CR05 and not linked to a target are less or equal to the sum of cumulative figures of the reporting year for CO12, CO14, CO15, CO16 and CO17 in Table 4A. When CO12 and/or CO14 do not exist in the reporting year, then get its value from the last AIR in which it existed (error),
 - 2.8.20 for AIRs >=2018, the cumulative figures of the reporting year reported for CR09 and not linked to a target are less or equal to the sum of cumulative figures of the reporting year for CO12, CO14, CO15, CO16 and CO17 in Table 4A. When CO12 and/or CO14 do not exist in the reporting year, then get its value from the last AIR in which it existed (error)

Operational Programme (EMFF)

• OP EMFF - The validation rules 2.27 and 2.37 were changed to a warning.

Defects fixed:

Major project notification (Art.102(1) and 103)

• MP NOT (11192) - The button to "Create New Version" was missing on the user's interface. This has now been fixed.

Commission decision

• COM DEC (11196) - The event generated when a Commission Decision record is updated and when any of the underlying data (CCIs covered, Documents, Attachments) is created, updated, deleted, were not triggered when the Commission user added Documents. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (IGJ)

• IR IGJ (11195) - The validation rule 2.8.8 and 2.8.17, when comparing Male values, were comparing Males against Females. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (IPA-CB)

• IR IGJ (11193) - The validation rule 2.118 was not working properly. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (EMFF)

- IR EMFF (11010) The validation rule 2.107 related to the Financial Instruments is now working properly.
- IR EMFF (11225) The union priority table 1 was not sorted correctly. This has now been fixed.

Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)

- RDP AIR (11158,11163) In section 7, the user couldn't create an additional indicator if the field 'Comments' was empty. This has now been fixed.
- RDP AIR (11197) In the generated 'Applied validation rules' report, the rules displayed were only the rules that have failed. This has now been fixed.
- RDP AIR (11203) The Calculation of the amount for Article 59(6) was not correct due to the changes related to 59(4)(g). This has now been fixed.
- RDP AIR (11208) The action Technical Return on Performance Review (RMT) didn't change to the right status. It was going to Non-Admissible (NAD), which is wrong. This has now been fixed.
- RDP AIR (11179) The Budget codes related to Article 59(4)(g) were not correctly displayed. This has now been corrected.
- RDP AIR (11005) The import Excel in section 11 didn't work with the file extension .xslx. This has now been fixed.
- RDP AIR (11226) The section 9 was not displayed in the snapshot report for AIR2018. This has now been fixed.
- RDP AIR (11208) The action Technical Return on the Performance Review (RMT) was changing the object to the wrong status: Non-Admissible (NAD). This has now been fixed.

RDP AIR (11228) - Previously, the user could click on the edit button for the sub-parts of the main form, and
when filling and updating this sub-part form, the user would think that those values were taken into account but
in fact they didn't persist in the database, the values would disappear after refreshing the screen. In order to
avoid that, now the edit button for the subparts are deactivated if the main form is not in edit mode (and if no
measure have been added).

Accounts (IGJ,ETC)

- ACC IGJ ETC (11218) The user was not able to create a new version when the accounts were in status "Accept Partially". This has now been fixed.
- ACC IGJ ETC (11155,11156) In the section 5 of Audit Opinion, if the user selected the option disclaimer of opinion, the 'Justification' field wasn't mandatory, so the user could save it without completing it. This has now been fixed.
- ACC IGJ ETC (11218) The user was not able to create a new version when the accounts were in status "Accept Partially". This has now been fixed.
- ACC IGJ ETC (11173) The user was getting an error when reopening an Accounts with status 'Accepted by EC with follow-up. This has now been fixed.

Accounts (AMIF,ISF)

ACC AMIF ISF (11223) - When the User send the Accounts to the European Commission, it is expected an
acknowledgement document with a cover page with Logo on the centre of first page, but it wasn't happening.
This has now been fixed.

Reports

• ACK (11087) - There Acknowledge report had different styles after appending the report to the cover letter. The footer was getting an extra tab making the alignment of the language code wrong. This has now been fixed.