

New Release 16/01/2019 SFC2014 FrontOffice 2.17.1

NEW FUNCTIONALITIES:	1
COMMISSION DECISION CORRECTION.....	1
PERFORMANCE REVIEW DECISIONS	2
CHANGES:	2
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB,EMFF)	2
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ)	2
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ,ETC,IPACBC).....	3
DESIGNATION OF AUTHORITIES (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,IPA-CB,FEAD,AMIF,ISF).....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ALL).....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB).....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ).....	3
COLLECTION OF SCO DATA.....	4
FINANCIAL DATA (IGJ,ETC)	4
QUARTERLY DECLARATION OF EXPENDITURE (EAFRD)	4
ACCOUNTS (ISF)	4
ACCOUNTS (EAFRD,EAGF).....	5
CONTROL DATA AND CONTROL STATISTICS (EAFRD,EAGF)	5
IRRECOVERABLE AMOUNTS (IGJ,ETC)	5
ANNUAL CONTROL REPORT (ALL)	5
NATIONAL AUDIT REPORT (ALL)	5
EARLY WARNINGS	5
DEFECTS FIXED:	6
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB)	6
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ)	6
COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ETC)	6
RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (EAFRD).....	6
NATIONAL PROGRAMME (AMIF,ISF).....	6
MAJOR PROJECT NOTIFICATION (ART.102(1) AND 103) & MAJOR PROJECT SUBMISSION (ART. 102(2)).....	6
MAJOR PROJECT NOTIFICATION (ART.102(1) AND 103).....	6
TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION PROJECT (EAFRD).....	7
APPLICATION (EGF).....	7
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ).....	7
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ETC)	7
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EMFF)	7
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD).....	7
PAYMENT APPLICATION (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,IPA-CB).....	7
ACCOUNTS (EAFRD,EAGF).....	7
IRRECOVERABLE AMOUNTS (ALL)	8
IRRECOVERABLE AMOUNTS (IPA-CB)	8
ANNUAL CONTROL REPORT (ALL)	8
NATIONAL AUDIT REPORT (IGJ,ETC)	8
ANNUAL CONTROL REPORT (IGJ,ETC) & NATIONAL AUDIT REPORT (IGJ,ETC)	8

New Functionalities:

Commission decision correction

- CDC (10286) – There is a new menu item called “Commission decision correction” under menu option “Programming” managing the document types of document group Commission decision correction. A quick guide for this functionality can be found [here](#).

Performance review decisions

- PRD (10476) – There is now under menu option “Monitoring” and the item “Performance Review”, a new sub-item called “Performance Review decisions” managing those type of documents. A quick guide for this functionality can be found [here](#).

Changes:

Operational programme (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB,EMFF)

- OP IGJ ETC IPACB EMFF (1203) - The email address of authorities is now mandatory because when there is no email address on the authorities in the Programme, the creation of a Designation of authorities will fail.

Operational programme (IGJ)

- OP IGJ (10370) - The Annex 3 was renamed to Annex 4. Now the Annex 3 becomes the management of the document of type “Calculation random sample selection + total error rate” and this change is also reflected in the print version.
- OP IGJ (10380) - As there are cases where there are more than 1 Body to whom payment are made by the EC, the validation rule 2.72 will have to be changed.
 - 2.72 - *Validate that the number of Bodies to whom payments will be made by the Commission in Table 23 is not bigger than the number of Funds covered by the Programme (error)*
- OP IGJ (10381) - New validation rules 2.83-2.87 were added for Omnibus Regulation that made the ESF Common Output Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 obsolete.
 - 2.83. - *Validate in Table 4, that Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are not used as basis for target setting.*
=> "Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are obsolete following the omnibus regulation. They should eventually be replaced by programme specific indicators. If you want to keep using household indicators as basis for target setting, you have to encode them as programme specific indicators: Table 4, priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, region category: {2}, result indicator: {3}, output indicator: {4}"
 - 2.84. - *Validate in Table 4A, that Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are not used as basis for target setting.*
=> "Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are obsolete following the omnibus regulation. They should eventually be replaced by programme specific indicators. If you want to keep using household indicators as basis for target setting, you have to encode them as programme specific indicators: Table 4A, priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, result indicator: {2}, output indicator: {3}"
 - 2.85. - *Validate in Table 5, that Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are not used anymore.*
=> "Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are obsolete following the omnibus regulation. They should eventually be replaced by programme specific indicators. If you want to keep using household indicators in the programme as output indicators with a quantitative target, you have to encode them as programme specific indicators: Table 5, priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, region category: {2}, output indicator: {3}"
 - 2.86. - *Validate in Table 6, that Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are not used anymore.*
=> "Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are obsolete following the omnibus regulation. They should eventually be replaced by programme specific indicators. If you want to keep using household indicators in the performance framework, you have to encode them as programme specific indicators: Table 6, priority axis: {0}, fund: {1}, region category: {2}, output indicator: {3}"
 - 2.87. - *Validate in Table 13, that Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are not used anymore.*

=> "Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are obsolete following the omnibus regulation. They should eventually be replaced by programme specific indicators. If you want to keep using household indicators in the programme as output indicators with a quantitative target, you have to encode them as programme specific indicators: Table 13, priority axis: {0}, output indicator: {1}"

Operational programme (IGJ,ETC,IPACBC)

- OP IGJ ETC IPACBC (1203) - Previously, only quantitative indicators could be used in the Performance Framework table for IGJ, ETC and IPACBC. REGIO however has a few ERDF Programmes where the Key Implementation Step Indicator could be qualitative. Therefore the user is now allowed to enter Qualitative Key Implementation Step Indicator in the Performance Framework Table (=T6, 28 for IGJ, T5, 24 for ETC and T5, 25 for IPACBC). The model was already allowing this, but in the edit screens for the performance framework tables (=T6 for IGJ, T5 for ETC and T5 for IPACBC), there is now an extra checkbox "Qualitative" and an extra field "Qualitative final target (2023)" and when the "Qualified" checkbox is checked, the numeric target fields are disabled and the qualitative one is enabled. In the display screens for the performance framework tables (=T6, 28 for IGJ, T5, 24 for ETC and T5, 25 for IPACBC), either the numeric target or the qualified target is displayed in the same "Final target (2023)" field.

Designation of authorities (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,IPA-CB,FEAD,AMIF,ISF)

- DOA (10246) - The edit Details pop-up in Authorities tab was not displayed correctly in 1280x1024 resolution. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (ALL)

- IR ALL (10333) - Now on the creation of an Implementation report it links to the last adopted Operational Programme version, unless there is an entry to link to a specified Operational Programme version.

Implementation report (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB)

- IR IGJ ETC IPACBC (1223,1224,1225) - Previously, only quantitative indicators could be used in the Performance Framework table for IGJ, ETC and IPACBC. REGIO however has a few ERDF Programmes where the Key Implementation Step Indicator can be qualitative. Therefore the user is now allowed to enter Qualitative Key Implementation Step Indicator in the Performance Framework Table (=T5 for IGJ and T3 for ETC and IPACBC). This change is now also reflected in the print.
- IR IGJ ETC IPACBC (10486,10488) - The columns 13 and 14 were added in the user's interface and also in print.

Implementation report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ (10266) - The Omnibus regulation has made the ESF Common Output Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 obsolete but, they can still exist in the Implementation Report. When used in Table 5, reporting is still mandatory. When used in other Tables, a validation rule now indicates that reporting is not necessary, unless CO12, CO13 and CO14 are used for target setting. The validation rules 2.7.11 and 2.7.12 were added, the validation rules 2.33 and 2.93 were modified and the validation rules 2.7.6 and 2.7.7 were deleted:
 - 2.7.11 - no figures are necessary for CO12, CO13 and CO14 when they are not used for target setting in Table 2A (warning) => "Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 are obsolete following the omnibus regulation and therefore don't need to be reported: Table 4A, priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, region category: {2}, output indicator: {3}"
 - 2.7.12 - figures are necessary for CO12, CO13 and CO14 when they are used for target setting in Table 2A (error) => "Common Indicators CO12, CO13 and CO14 should have annual achievement values when they are used for target setting in Table 2A: Table 4A, priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, region category: {2}, output indicator: {3}".

Collection of SCO data

- SCO (1180) - This module now allows to request the submission of different elements of Annex III. The first collection type contains elements 53, 60, 65, 69 and 89 to be reported on at Programme level.

Financial data (IGJ,ETC)

- PF IGJ ETC (10471) - There are 2 new validation rules on Table 2 Territorial Delivery Mechanism codes (2.32, 2.33):
 - 2.32 validate in table 2 that per priority axis, the Territorial Delivery Mechanism with code 02 doesn't coexist with TDM codes 03, 04, 05 and 07 (warning)
 - for IGJ/ETC ERDF and ESF => "In table 2, the Territorial Delivery Mechanism code "02" should not coexist with Territorial Delivery Mechanism codes "03", "04", "05" or "07" for priority axis: \{0}, Fund: \{1}, region category: \{2}, intervention field: \{3}, form of finance: \{4}, territorial type: \{5}, territorial delivery mechanism: \{6}, thematic objective: \{7}, economic dimension: \{8}, location: \{9}"
 - for IGJ/ETC CF => "In table 2, the Territorial Delivery Mechanism code "02" should not coexist with Territorial Delivery Mechanism codes "03", "04", "05" or "07" for priority axis: \{0}, Fund: \{1}, intervention field: \{2}, form of finance: \{3}, territorial type: \{4}, territorial delivery mechanism: \{5}, thematic objective: \{6}, economic dimension: \{7}, location: \{8}"
 - 2.33 validate in table 2 that per priority axis, the Territorial Delivery Mechanism codes used exist as well in the corresponding OP table 10 (IGJ) and CP table 9 (ETC) (warning)
 - for IGJ ERDF, ESF => "In table 2, the Territorial Delivery Mechanism code used should also exist in table 10 of the Operational Programme for priority axis: \{0}, Fund: \{1}, region category: \{2}, intervention field: \{3}, form of finance: \{4}, territorial type: \{5}, territorial delivery mechanism: \{6}, thematic objective: \{7}, economic dimension: \{8}, location: \{9}"
 - for IGJ CF and YEI => "In table 2, the Territorial Delivery Mechanism code used should also exist in table 10 of the Operational Programme for priority axis: \{0}, Fund: \{1}, intervention field: \{2}, form of finance: \{3}, territorial type: \{4}, territorial delivery mechanism: \{5}, thematic objective: \{6}, economic dimension: \{7}, location: \{8}"
 - for ETC ERDF => "In table 2, the Territorial Delivery Mechanism code used should also exist in table 9 of the Cooperation Programme for priority axis: \{0}, Fund: \{1}, region category: \{2}, intervention field: \{3}, form of finance: \{4}, territorial type: \{5}, territorial delivery mechanism: \{6}, thematic objective: \{7}, economic dimension: \{8}, location: \{9}"
 - for ETC CF => "In table 2, the Territorial Delivery Mechanism code used should also exist in table 9 of the Cooperation Programme for priority axis: \{0}, Fund: \{1}, intervention field: \{2}, form of finance: \{3}, territorial type: \{4}, territorial delivery mechanism: \{5}, thematic objective: \{6}, economic dimension: \{7}, location: \{8}"

Quarterly declaration of expenditure (EAFRD)

- DOE RDP (1209) - The user is now allowed to export in the same Excel file two different worksheets: the expenditures and correction rows (total by measure + detailed). The button(s) for export (xls icon) will be displayed in the screen 'expenditures and correction'.

Accounts (ISF)

- ACC ISF (10180) - Previously, the user was not allowed to update the Beneficiary Official, the Short Name and the Summary on TS, OV and OB Projects in the ISF Accounts when the Project was created in a previous accounts version. This has changed and now the edit screen is available on Sections I.A.2 and I.A.3 for Projects created in a previous accounts version but only the fields Beneficiary Official Name, Beneficiary Short Name and Summary are enabled.

Accounts (EAFRD,EAGF)

- ACC EAFRD EAGF (10401,10485)- There are some modifications put in place for the Accounts 2018. The quick guides have been updated accordingly. Please check [here](#).
- ACC EAFRD EAGF (10459,10525,10526) - The Paying Agency list no longer contains all paying agencies which were valid during the Accounting year. In case the name(description) of the Paying Agency has changed during the Accounting year, the list displays the most recent one.
- ACC EAFRD EAGF (8535,8536) - The Management Declaration was added to the accounts in the user's interface and also in print.
- ACC EAFRD EAGF (10231,10232) - The Audi Opinion was added to the accounts in the user's interface and also in print.

Control data and control statistics (EAFRD,EAGF)

- CDS (10460) - The Paying Agency list no longer contains all paying agencies which were valid during the Accounting year. In case the name (description) of the Paying Agency has changed during the Accounting year, the list displays the most recent one.

Irrecoverable amounts (IGJ,ETC)

- IRA IGJ ETC (10326) - There are new validation rules 2.13 and 2.14:
 - *2.13 validate that the following integral documents are uploaded (error): Proof of last payment of public contribution to the beneficiary for the operation concerned (IRA.PLP), Copy of first or any subsequent recovery order (IRA.CRO)*
 - *2.14 validate that at least one record exists in the Annex (error)*

Annual control report (ALL)

- ACR (10467) - The obligation to set at least one element for modification during action "Complete assessment with observations" was removed (for the commission users).
- ACR (10473) - In the Annex 1, when importing the National Audit Report, the records from CCIs that don't exist in the Annual Control Report are now filtered.
- ACR (10238) - The validation rule 2.24 was added on existence of Annex 4:
 - *2.24 - validate that there is at least 1 record in Annex 3 on audit findings (warning) => "You are invited to fill in Annex 4 with audit findings"*

National audit report (ALL)

- NAR (10431,10263) - The workflow of the Annual control report was changed at the Commission level and there is now a new final status "Analysed by EC with the assurance package" and the action "Mark as analysed with assurance package".

Early Warnings

- EW (10374) - Now SFC2014 has a new attribute to identify Programmes which have stopped any activity so that it triggers early warnings only for non-stopped Programmes and its sub-objects.

Defects fixed:

Operational programme (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB)

- OP IGJ ETC IPACB (10534) - In SFC2014 we have a requirement which says that in subsequent versions of the Operational Programme (>1), the Priority Axes created in earlier versions cannot be deleted. With version we mean Version, not Working Version, so the check should only be on the major Version number. Previously it just checked that it was created in another version than the current version. This has now been corrected.

Operational programme (IGJ)

- OP IGJ (10495) - In the Report, the Table 23 had 2 columns missing: 'Address' & 'Email'. This has now been fixed.
- OP IGJ (5267) - The sorting for Tables 21 and 22 is now also applied in the print.
- OP IGJ (10272) - There was an error when the user added a Common Indicator in Table 6 and then validate it. This has now been fixed.

Cooperation programme (ETC)

- CP ETC (10425) - The Compare mode for the Table 6 'Performance Framework' was mixing up different indicators while the indicators of both versions were identical. This has now been fixed.
- CP ETC (10168) - When creating a new version of an ETC Programme, the Table 22 was not always copied from the previous version. This has now been fixed.
- CP ETC (10496) - In the Report, the Tables 21 and 22 had 2 columns missing: 'Address' & 'Email'. This has now been fixed.

Rural development programme (EAFRD)

- RDP (5450) - There was a problem with validation rules 90, 92 and 94 concerning financial instruments. The messages were adapted to be independent from the severity.
- RDP (5442) - There were target Indicators for additional Focus Area missing in the draft decision report (part II). This has now been fixed.

National programme (AMIF,ISF)

- NP AMIF ISF (10502) - The 'Transfer & Relocations' section (4.2) didn't allow negative numbers, whereas Resettlement (4.1) and Admission from Turkey (4.3) already allowed negative numbers. This has now been fixed.

Major project notification (Art.102(1) and 103) & Major project submission (Art. 102(2))

- MPN MPS (10461) - When the commission user changed the status of a Major project notification (Art.102(1) and 103) or a Major project submission (Art. 102(2) from "Sent" to "Acknowledged" , the status should be displayed as "Acknowledged by EC" but this did not happen. This has now been fixed.

Major project notification (Art.102(1) and 103)

- MPN (10269) - The Major project notification (Art.102(1) and 103) message header was updated and also an explanation message was added.

Transnational cooperation project (EAFRD)

- TNC (10551) - There were missing records in section 4-Other partners. When two records were created with same contact name, only one would appear in the list. The other one was in database but not shown. This has now been fixed.

Application (EGF)

- AP EGF (10519) - In Section G.1 of AP EGF, if the User created a new Measure by using the same Unique ID which already existed (e.g., 8), the system would replace the existing entry without any warning. This has now been fixed and now when an unique ID exists already, there is an warning.

Implementation report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ (5296) - The Table 2C for Technical Assistance Priority Axes didn't always show the Measurement Unit nor the Measurement Unit for Baseline and target in the Display and in the Print. This has now been fixed.
- IR IGJ (10245) - The Edit details pop-up for Table9 was not displayed correctly in 1280x1080 resolution. This has now been fixed.
- IR IGJ (10396) - On creating a new Implementation Report version, in table 2c, the field 'decreasing target' was not being copied. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (ETC)

- IR ETC (10398) - When creating an Implementation report, the indicator tables 1 and 2 were not filled for the technical assistance axes not containing ERDF fund. This has now been fixed and now they are populated with the Operational programme data.

Implementation report (EMFF)

- IR EMFF (10546) - When doing a compare Print of an Implementation report EMFF, the "last modified" date of one of the compared versions was getting updated. This has now been fixed.

Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)

- RDP AIR (10291) - In the section 10 on Financial Instruments, the Thematic Objective is automatically prefilled based on the selected Focus Area, but the user can choose a different Thematic Objective if needed. So when a user selects a different Thematic Objective than the one prefilled and he edits it again, the thematic objective he has selected before is reset to the default value. Now the system behaviour was corrected and it changes the prefilled Thematic Objective only when the user selects a different Focus Area.
- RDP AIR (10276) - The Financial Instruments common report was fixed by storing data in common table.

Payment application (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,IPA-CB)

- PAP IGJ ETC EMFF IPACB (10298) - The validation rule 2.20 was generating an error when column A value was null. This has now been fixed.

Accounts (EAFRD,EAGF)

- ACC EAFRD EAGF (10522) - The MS Certification Authority should not be able to edit the Management declaration. This has now been fixed.

Irrecoverable amounts (ALL)

- IRA ALL (10289) - In the Irrecoverable Amounts Documents, the list of documents didn't contain European Commission document types when it was a Member State user or the Member State document types when it was an European Commission user, although they are sent and the permissions were correct. This has now been fixed.

Irrecoverable amounts (IPA-CB)

- IRA IPACB (10539) - There was a missing priority code and priority title in the annex of the Irrecoverable amounts (IPA-CB). This has now been fixed.

Annual control report (ALL)

- ACR (10429,10433) – The user was getting an error when trying to click on the Annex 4 in the compare mode. This has now been fixed.
- ACR (10489) - The Action "complete the assessment with observation" didn't contain the section "Allow to modify the following elements in the next version". This has now been fixed.
- ACR (10498) - The user was able to create a new version when the Commission performed WF action "Complete assessment with Observations" with no Element set (new changes). This should not be the case and now the Member State user is no longer allowed to do so.
- ACR (10354) - When performing the workflow action "EC assessments completed with observations", if the European Commission user would click on the SET Modifiable, change something and update it, the system would create in the Documents folder 2 similar documents: one already Sent and another one with same title and file attached. This has been fixed and the Set Modifiable action does not create any document now.
- ACR (10500) - When the user created new version of the Annual control report after the last one had status "Complete assessment with observations", the commission user did not see any Links on top to perform "Set Modifiable". This has now been fixed.
- ACR (10550) - The user was getting a time-out error on the Annual control report that was caused by an underlying query with too many outer joins . This has now been fixed.

National audit report (IGJ,ETC)

- NAR (10469) - The action 'Return for modification by MS' was giving an error. This has now been fixed.

Annual control report (IGJ,ETC) & National audit report (IGJ,ETC)

- ACR NAR IGJ ETC (5181) - The snapshots for the National audit report and the Annual control report were disordered. This has now been fixed.