

New Release 10/10/2018 SFC2014 FrontOffice 2.16.2

NEW FUNCTIONALITIES:	1
N/A	1
CHANGES:	1
MAJOR PROJECT NOTIFICATION (ART.102(1) AND 103) & MAJOR PROJECT SUBMISSION (ART. 102(2)).....	1
MAJOR PROJECT SUBMISSION (ART. 102(2)).....	1
APPLICATION (EGF).....	1
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB).....	2
PROGRESS REPORT.....	2
ACCOUNTS (AMIF,ISF).....	2
ANNUAL CONTROL REPORT (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,FEAD,IPA-CB).....	3
DEFECTS FIXED:	3
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (ETC).....	3
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ).....	3
COOPERATION PROGRAMME (IPA-CB).....	3
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD).....	3
ACCOUNTS (AMIF,ISF).....	3
PAYMENT APPLICATION (IGJ,EMFF).....	3
PAYMENT APPLICATION (IGJ).....	4
PAYMENT APPLICATION & IRRECOVERABLE AMOUNTS (ALL)	4
EVENT REGISTRATION	4

New Functionalities:

N/A

Changes:

Major project notification (Art.102(1) and 103) & Major project submission (Art. 102(2))

- MPN MPS (1205) - The Member State can no longer create a minor version when they submit a new version of the project that has status "incomplete submission" or "draft refusal decision under preparation". Now a major version needs to be created. Also previously, when the status became "draft refusal decision under preparation" the Member State authorities would receive a notification which informs them that they can withdraw the project within 7 calendar days. This note is now removed from the notification and the system will systematically send a letter with this status to explain better the reasons of the rejection before the adoption of the decision (as a preliminary step).

Major project submission (Art. 102(2))

- MPS (1220) - The validation rule 2.69 is now only triggered when Annex I to the EIA directive is chosen. The system can only know this when there is an entry in the Annex1.
 - *2.69 validate in F.3.3 when there exists a MajorProjectEiaAnnex1 that the question (=MajorProjectEnvironmentalAnalysis.eia1UnderFootnote3) is answered (error)*

Application (EGF)

- APP EGF (897) – A list of the Validation Results were added for all objects in reports ALL , SNP , ACK

Implementation report (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB)

- IR IGJ ETC IPACBC (1219, 1221) – The validation rules 2.48, 2.49, 2.50 and 2.51 are no longer triggered when Annual Total value is null:
 - 2.48 validate in Table 1 for ERDF/CF when Annual Total value is not null and Target value > Baseline, that the Annual Total values are >= Baseline value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5} {{6} < {7}}. Please check."
 - 2.49 validate in Table 1 for ERDF/CF when Annual Total value is not null and Target value > Baseline, that the Annual Total values are <= Target value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5} {{6} > {7}}. Please check."
 - 2.50 validate in Table 1 for ERDF/CF when Annual Total value is not null and Target value < Baseline, that the Annual Total values are <= Baseline value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is greater than the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5} {{6} > {7}}. Please check."
 - 2.51 validate in Table 1 for ERDF/CF when Annual Total value is not null and Target value < Baseline, that the Annual Total values are >= Target value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is below the target value for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5} {{6} < {7}}. Please check."

Progress report

- PRR (800) - Progress Report on the implementation of the Partnership Agreement is now also implemented in the Print version.

Accounts (AMIF,ISF)

- ACC AMIFISF (1210) – The validation rules for AMIF related to the quota checking per special case type (2.44 and 2.46) were disabled and a new rule 2.63 is now implemented which checks that the special cases total amount (resettlements, transfers, relocations and admissions) over all accounts versions (last version of each year) is <= the budgeted amount in the last adopted programme version:
 - 2.63 validate for AMIF accounts that the special cases total amount (resettlements+transfers+relocations+admissions) over all accounts versions (last version of each year) is <= the budgeted amount in the last adopted programme version (=Total Special Cases in Table 1 or 2 of the programme) (error)
- ACC AMIFISF (1156) - While defining a PR Project in the Accounts AMFISF, the user is now able to select pre-defined keywords and it is now also shown in the print version. Extra validation rules were also implemented to enforce that:
 - 2.57 validate on PR Projects that nvl(Keyword 1,1) <> nvl(Keyword 2,2) <> nvl(Keyword 3,3) (error)
 - 2.58 validate on PR Projects that at least 1 Keyword is selected (error)(implicit in web)
 - 2.59 validate when ISF PR Project under SO5, that BasicProjectAmflsf.isPnrProject is not null (error)(implicit in web)
 - 2.60 validate when ISF PR Project under SO5, that BasicProjectAmflsf.isInteroperabilityProject is not null (error)(implicit in web)
 - 2.61 validate when AMIF PR Project under SO2, that BasicProjectAmflsf.isRegionalProject is not null (error)(implicit in web)
 - 2.62 validate when AMIF PR Project under SO3, that BasicProjectAmflsf.enhancesEffectiveness is not null

Annual control report (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,FEAD,IPA-CB)

- ACR IGJ ETC EMFF FEAD IPACB (1216, 1218) – The annex 3 is now implemented in the system and in the print version.

Defects fixed:

Operational programme (ETC)

- OP ETC (10168) - When creating a new version of an ETC Programme, the Table 22 was not always copied from the previous version. This has now been fixed.

Operational programme (IGJ)

- OP IGJ (5451) – The Final Target (2023) column for Table 28 was missing in Print. This has now been corrected.
- OP IGJ (10163) – The Authority email field in 'Table 23 Relevant authorities and bodies' should not be mandatory as it was. This has now been corrected and the Member State is now allowed to send Program Authorities without email address.

Cooperation programme (IPA-CB)

- CP IPACB (4585) - The "Section 1.2 Justification for the financial allocation" data in the PDF report (print) was in Landscape mode and the User could not read all the data. This has now been fixed.

Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)

- AIR RDP (5446) - The pdf report section 1b1 displayed the Measures/Focus Areas which have been removed from the linked Rural development programme. This has now been fixed.

Accounts (AMIF,ISF)

- ACC AMIFISF (5457) – The Validation rule 2.57 was triggered saying that two keywords cannot have the same values while they do not have the same values. This has now been fixed.

Payment application (IGJ,EMFF)

- PAP IGJ EMFF (10164) – The validation rule 2.22 for Payment Application IGJ and EMFF was working fine but in the message the values are switched. It was like this:
 - *IGJ: In Appendix 1 the amount in column "Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid" should be minor or equals to "Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments" : Priority axis:{0}, Fund:{1}, Region category:{2}, Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments:{3} > Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid: {4}*
 - *EMFF: In Appendix 1 the amount in column "Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid" should be minor or equals to "Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments" : Union priority:{0} Measure: {1} Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments:{2} > Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid: {3}*

And it has been corrected to:

- *IGJ: In Appendix 1 the amount in column "Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid" should be minor or equals to "Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments" :*

Priority axis:{0}, Fund:{1}, Region category:{2}, Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid: {3} > Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments:{4}

- *EMFF: In Appendix 1 the amount in column "Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid" should be minor or equals to 'Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments' : Union priority:{0} Measure: {1} Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid: {2} > Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments:{3}.*

Payment application (IGJ)

- PAP IGJ (10165) - For the Payment application IGJ, the validations 2.24.1 and 2.24.2 were not taking into account the cumulative values from previous years in some cases. The problem was when there were different Payment application for previous year for different funds. This has now been fixed.

Payment application & Irrecoverable Amounts (ALL)

- PAP IRR (5456) – The objects 'Payment Application' and 'Irrecoverable Amounts' were generating the snapshot document again after ECAS signature invalidating the previous document that was pointed out by the system but no longer existed. This has now been fixed.

Event registration

- ER (5454) – Users were receiving notifications from other countries than the ones that they were registered for. This has now been fixed.