

New Release 20/08/2018 SFC2014 FrontOffice 2.15.0

NEW FUNCTIONALITIES:	1
N/A	1
CHANGES:	1
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (ALL)	1
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ)	1
NATIONAL PROGRAMME (ISF)	3
RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (EAFRD).....	3
QUARTERLY DECLARATION OF EXPENDITURE (EAFRD).....	3
APPLICATION (EGF).....	3
PAYMENT APPLICATION (ALL).....	4
ACCOUNTS (ALL)	4
ACCOUNTS (AMIF, ISF)	5
DEFECTS FIXED:	5
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ)	5
NATIONAL PROGRAMME (AMIF)	5
RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (EAFRD).....	5
COOPERATION PROGRAMME (IPA-CB).....	5
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ALL).....	6
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ).....	6
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ETC)	6
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (AMIF).....	6
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (AMIF, ISF)	6
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD)	6
QUARTERLY DECLARATION OF EXPENDITURE (EAFRD).....	6
FINANCIAL DATA (IGJ, ETC)	6
ACCOUNTS (AMIF, ISF)	6
ACCOUNTS (AMIF)	7
CONTROL DATA AND CONTROL STATISTICS (EAFRD,EAGF)	7
EVENT REGISTRATION	7

New Functionalities:

N/A

Changes:

Operational programme (ALL)

- OP ALL (453608) – A change in the paragraphs layout was made to make footers uniform and a table of contents were added.

Operational programme (IGJ)

- OP IGJ (460006) - Two new type of Priorities (Natural disaster and SME) were added and the correspondent validation rules were added or changed for these type of priorities (rules 2.76, 2.77, 2.11, 2.18,2.24,2.29,2.30,2.67)
 - 1. New indicator on non-TA Priority: Natural disaster + 2 new validation rules for this type of indicators (2.76 and 2.77)

- 2.76 validate when Priority Axis is dedicated to natural disasters that it only covers ERDF (error)
 - 2.77 validate when Programme contains a natural disasters Priority Axis that the total Union support on natural disasters over all Member State Programmes is $\leq 5\%$ of the Total ERDF Union support over all Member State Programmes (warning)
- 2. New indicator on non-TA Priority: SME + modified validation rules that should be applied on these priorities (2.11, 2.18, 2.24, 2.29, 2.30, 2.67)
 - 2.11 validate that for each of the Categorisation Breakdowns (Tables 7-10 and 14-16), the total Union Support per Priority Axis and Fund equals the total Union Support (main+performance) per Priority Axis and Fund in Table 18a, and vice versa (error). For Table 11 the Total does not have to add up. This rule doesn't apply for SME Programmes and SME Priority Axes since they do not have Categorisation.
 - 2.18 validate that there is at least one Indicator defined in the relevant Indicator Tables (error)
 - T3 one record for each PA, IP, SO (Only for ERDF, CF)(Warning)
 - T4 one record for each PA, IP (Only for ESF)(Warning)
 - T4a one record for each PA, IP (Only for YEI) (Warning)
 - T5 one record for each PA, IP (For all)(warning)
 - T6 one record (For all, except for priority axes containing only YEI, for SME programmes and SME priority axes and for TA programmes)(error)
 - T12 one record for each PA, SO (Only for ERDF, CF, ESF)(Warning)
 - T13 one record for each PA (Only for ERDF, CF, ESF)(Warning)
 - 2.24 validate that SME Programmes and SME Priority Axes only use Thematic Objective 3 (error)
 - 2.29 validate that there is no Performance Reserve amount specified in Tables 17 and 18a for YEI Programmes and Priority Axes, nor for SME Programmes, SME Priority Axes and TA Programmes (error)
 - 2.30 validate in Table 18a, that for non-TA priority axes, non-YEI Priority Axes/Funds with a Union Support (A) > 0 , the "Performance Reserve amount as proportion per Priority Axis (L)" is between 5% and 7%. Doesn't apply for SME Programmes, SME Priority Axes and TA Programmes. If the Union Support (A) in the current version decreased compared to the last adopted version then this rule is a (warning) else it is an (error)
 - 2.67 validate for non-SME Programmes that the co-financing rate of each non-YEI ProgrammePriorityPlan record in Table 18a doesn't exceed the maximum allowed co-financing rate
- OP IGI (453260) - New validation rules 2.79 to 2.82 were added to improve data quality in view of a later Annual Implementation Report and the validation rule 2.54 was also modified.
 - 2.79 validate that the 2023 Target Values (Total, Men and Women) per PA/IP in Table 4 and 4A on Common Result Indicators with a "measurement unit for baseline and target" = Number, and with an "Output Indicator as basis for target setting", are \leq the 2023 Target Values (Total, Men and Women) for the same PA/IP of the referred Output Indicator in Table 5 (error)
 - 2.80 validate that the 2023 Target Values (Total, Men and Women) per PA/IP in Table 4 and 4A on Programme Specific Quantitative Result Indicators with a "measurement unit for baseline and target" = Number, and with an "Output Indicator as basis for target setting", are \leq the 2023 Target Values (Total, Men and Women) for the same PA/IP of the referred Output Indicator in Table 5 (warning)
 - 2.81 validate in Table 7 on Priorities covering ESF/YEI that the "Intervention Field" code (102 to 120 only) is the equivalent of an IP covered by the PA/Fund (error)
 - 2.82 validate in Table 7 on Priorities covering ESF/YEI that a record exists for each "Intervention Field" code representing the Investment Priority covered by the PA/Fund (error)
 - 2.54 validate that the intervention codes used in Table 7 and Table 14 (Dimension 1 – Intervention field) are in accordance with the Fund of the Priority Axis (error)

National programme (ISF)

- NP ISF (466229, 466230) – A note was added at the end of Table 1 ISF-Borders in the National Programme (both in the user's interface and print):
 - *The amount under SO2 / NO6 includes an envelope of EUR 6 412 600 to be spent in accordance with Article 64(1) and (2) of Regulation (EU) No 2017/2226. This specific allocation can support 100% of those costs (including costs of operating the system) and is provided exclusively for this purpose. It cannot be used to cover other needs/costs, including those referred to in subparagraphs a) to g) of article 64(2) and article 64 (3).*
 - *This specific allocation shall not be taken into consideration in the calculation that determines the percentage of funding that may be used to finance operating support, according to Article 10 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 515/2014.*

Rural development programme (EAFRD)

- RDP EAFRD (461043) – The user is now allowed to select both Financial Instruments and grant as type of support for each type of operation in section 8.2
- RDP EAFRD (459727) – The measure 17 for WTO was adapted by adding an out of which value in financing plan (Omnibus).

Quarterly declaration of expenditure (EAFRD)

- RDP DOE (459733) – In the Quarterly declaration of expenditure, section 'Financial instruments, the 'amount of prg contributions paid to FI' = column (3) is now automatically calculated based on the 'Expenditures & adjustments' tables by measure. This section is now also available in the case the Member State selects the article 59(4)(h) in linked Rural development programme.
- RDP DOE (451421) - A legend is now displayed for budget code source types in each measure expenditures/corrections table of a Quarterly declaration of expenditure:
 - Budget codes from the financing plan in force on the 1st day of the quarter (Article 23(1)(b), Regulation 908/2014)
 - Budget codes from the financing plan in force on the last day of the quarter (Article 22(2) second paragraph, Regulation 908/2014)
 - Budget codes from previous declarations of expenditure of the same financial year (year n-1 Q4 to year n Q3) for columns 7/7' and 8/8' only (Article 22(5), Regulation 908/2014).

Application (EGF)

- AP EGF (461761) - A few changes are now in place for the Application (EGF):
 - A new validation was added:
 - *2.55 validate that in Section G.1.1 (c), the sum of the Total Cost of measures categorised under categories belonging to Art.7(1)(b) is smaller than 35% of the Total Cost of all measures (error). The validation message should say "In accordance with the first paragraph of Article 7(1) of Regulation (EU) 1309/2013, the costs of the special time-limited measures such as job-search allowances, employers' recruitment incentives, mobility allowances, subsistence or training allowances (including allowances for carers) may not exceed 35% of the total costs for the coordinated package of personalised services."*
 - Corresponding validation rules for workers and NEETs (2.21 and 2.28) were also changed from error to warning

- Also, in section G3 (3.6) the percentage of the sum of the Total Cost of measures categorized was added under categories belonging to Art.7(1)(b) to the total costs for the coordinated package of personalised services.

Payment application (ALL)

- PAP ALL (462431) - New validation rules on contributions paid to Financial Instruments (appendix 1) and on advances in the context of state aid (appendix 2) were added:
 - 2.20 validate per record in Appendix 1 that the amount in column 'Amount of corresponding public expenditure' (B) is <= the amount in column 'Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments' (A) (error)
 - 2.21 validate per record in Appendix 1 that the amount in column 'Amount of corresponding public expenditure' (D) is <= the amount in column 'Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid, ...' (C) (error)
 - 2.22 validate per record in Appendix 1 that the amount in column Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid, ...' (C) is <= the amount in column 'Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments' (A) (error)
 - 2.23 validate per record in Appendix 1 that the amount in column 'Amount of corresponding public expenditure' (D) is <= the amount in column 'Amount of corresponding public expenditure' (B) (error)
 - 2.24 validate per record in Appendix 1 that the amount (per Priority, Fund and Category of Region, or per Union Priority and Measure for EMFF) in column A and B (Programme contributions paid to financial instruments and included in the payment applications) is <= the sum of the amounts under the respective Priority, Fund and Category of Region (or Union Priority and Measure for EMFF) in the expenditure table of the payment applications including the earlier accounting years (Take last version of each accounting year). In other words, the basic principle is that expenditure related to financial instruments in a certain Priority, Fund and Category of Region (which is cumulative) cannot exceed the total expenditure in the respective Priority, Fund and Category of Region (error)
 - 2.25 validate per record in Appendix 2 that the 'Total amount paid from the operational programme as advances' (A) = 'Amount which has been covered by expenditure paid by beneficiaries within three years of the payment of the advance' (B) + 'Amount which has not been covered by expenditure paid by beneficiaries and for which the three year period has not yet elapsed' (C) (warning)

Accounts (ALL)

- ACC ALL (462432) – Extra validation rules on contributions paid to Financial Instruments (appendix 6) and on advances in the context of state aid (appendix 7) were added:
 - 2.39 validate per record in Appendix 6 that the amount in column 'Amount of corresponding public expenditure' (B) is <= the amount in column 'Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments' (A) (error)
 - 2.40 validate per record in Appendix 6 that the amount in column 'Amount of corresponding public expenditure' (D) is <= the amount in column 'Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid, ...' (C) (error)
 - 2.41 validate per record in Appendix 6 that the amount in column Total amount of programme contributions effectively paid, ...' (C) is <= the amount in column 'Total amount of programme contributions paid to financial instruments' (A) (error)
 - 2.42 validate per record in Appendix 6 that the amount in column 'Amount of corresponding public expenditure' (D) is <= the amount in column 'Amount of corresponding public expenditure' (B) (error)
 - 2.43 validate per record in Appendix 6 that the amount (per Priority, Fund and Category of Region, or per Union Priority and Measure for EMFF) in column A and B (Programme contributions paid to financial instruments and included in the payment applications) is <= the sum of the amounts under the respective

Priority, Fund and Category of Region (or Union Priority and Measure for EMFF) in the expenditure Appendix 1 of the Accounts including the earlier accounting years (Take last version of each accounting year). In other words, the basic principle is that expenditure related to financial instruments in a certain Priority, Fund and Category of Region (which is cumulative) cannot exceed the total expenditure in the respective Priority, Fund and Category of Region (error)

- *2.44 validate per record in Appendix 7 that the 'Total amount paid from the operational programme as advances' (A) = 'Amount which has been covered by expenditure paid by beneficiaries within three years of the payment of the advance' (B) + 'Amount which has not been covered by expenditure paid by beneficiaries and for which the three year period has not yet elapsed' (C) (warning)*

Accounts (AMIF, ISF)

- ACC AMIFISF (459083) – The user is now allowed to select Keywords on PR Projects and extra validation rules were added to enforce that:
 - *2.57 validate on PR Projects that $nvl(\text{Keyword } 1,1) \neq nvl(\text{Keyword } 2,2) \neq nvl(\text{Keyword } 3,3)$ (error)*
 - *2.58 validate on PR Projects that at least 1 Keyword is selected (error)(implicit in web)*
 - *2.59 validate when ISF PR Project under SO5, that BasicProjectAmfIsf.isPnrProject is not null (error)(implicit in web)*
 - *2.60 validate when ISF PR Project under SO5, that BasicProjectAmfIsf.isInteroperabilityProject is not null (error)(implicit in web)*
 - *2.61 validate when AMIF PR Project under SO2, that BasicProjectAmfIsf.isRegionalProject is not null (error)(implicit in web)*
 - *2.62 validate when AMIF PR Project under SO3, that BasicProjectAmfIsf.enhancesEffectiveness is not null*

Defects fixed:

Operational programme (IGJ)

- OP IGJ (464976) - The validation rule 2.67 set a wrong cofinancing rate limit for natural disaster priority axis that was taking 85% when it should be 95%. This has now been fixed.
- OP IGJ (464772) - The validation rule 2.79 was mixing the records in table 4 and 5 with different region category. This has now been fixed.
- OP IGJ (464340) - The compare mode was not working for table18a and table19. This has now been fixed.

National programme (AMIF)

- NP AMIF (463549) – The actions table in the Coverage section was not sorted. This has now been fixed.

Rural development programme (EAFRD)

- RDP EAFRD (461671) – There was an Error Message on the screen for the Rural development programme. This has now been fixed.
- RDP EAFRD (462087) – There were server memory problems due to a high number of Common Output Indicator EAFRD value records. This has now been fixed.

Cooperation programme (IPA-CB)

- CP IPACB (462294) – The Table10 data was not shown correctly in the Print. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (ALL)

- IR (456364) - When Financial Instruments was present (for any fund), the print Table of contents was not populated with that info. This has now been fixed.
- IR (458909) - The footers of the table of contents were corrected.

Implementation report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ (461991) - The financial product field 26.1 was not updatable for financial instrument type SF. This has now been fixed.
- IR IGJ (465911) – The ‘create new version’ was failing with error (ORA-00001: unique constraint (SFC2014.IRVER_FI_UK1) violated). This has now been fixed.
- IR IGJ (461997) - When updating the financial instrument SF/FOF parent FOF, the financial product table of contents items were lost. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (ETC)

- IR ETC (456383) – The field ‘11.3 Strategies’ was missing from the table of contents in print. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (AMIF)

- IR AMIF (456956) – The title for ‘Other Pledge’ was not displayed in section 4.1 Resettlement. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (AMIF, ISF)

- IR AMIFISF (463861) – There were double scroll bars in Section 5 -> Values. This has now been fixed.

Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)

- AIR RDP (462184) – The rule 250 was fixed for target T22 and T24.
- AIR RDP (461775) – In the validation rules 200 and 220, the total public expenditure should not include Declaration of expenditure after YYYYQ4 (with YYYY = AIR year version). This has now been fixed.

Quarterly declaration of expenditure (EAFRD)

- RDP DOE (440107) – The Paying Agency and Bank Account information were not copied anymore from the previous accepted declaration. This has now been fixed.

Financial data (IGJ, ETC)

- FD IGJ ETC (464170) – The validation of a financial data was giving an error for users only having the Certifying Authority role. This has now been fixed.

Accounts (AMIF, ISF)

- ACC AMIFISF (461841) – In the Projects and Accounts -> National objectives and specific action projects section, the Keywords dropdown menu was not populated when creating new projects. This has now been fixed.

Accounts (AMIF)

- ACC AMIF (466521) – There was a wrong calculation of the Payment Final Accepted Amount because the request exceeded the total finally accepted amount causing an error. Now the finally accepted amounts by allocation is the same as the requested by Member State amounts by allocation.

Control data and control statistics (EAFRD,EAGF)

- CDS (464543) - The delete version not always working. This has now been fixed.
- CDS (463462) – The user was unable to send Control data and control statistics to the European Commission when it was created on a lower Member State node. This has now been fixed.

Event registration

- ER (444258) – The Event Registration Administration Page did not reapply the changes to all subscriptions. This has now been fixed.