

New Release 28/06/2018 SFC2014 FrontOffice 2.14.9.1

NEW FUNCTIONALITIES:	1
CONTROL DATA AND CONTROL STATISTICS (EAFRD,EAGF)	1
CHANGES:	1
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ)	1
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ, ETC, IPA-CB,EMFF)	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ, ETC, IPA-CB)	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (AMIF,ISF)	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (AMIF)	4
QUARTERLY DECLARATION OF EXPENDITURE (EAFRD)	4
ACCOUNTS (AMIF,ISF)	4
ACCOUNTS (EAFRD,EAGF)	4
ANNUAL CONTROL REPORT (ALL)	4
DOCUMENTS	4
DEFECTS FIXED:	5
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ)	5
COOPERATION PROGRAMME (IPA-CB)	5
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ALL)	5
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ)	5
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD)	5
ACCOUNTS (ALL)	5
NOTIFICATIONS	5

New Functionalities:

Control data and control statistics (EAFRD,EAGF)

- CDS (455815) – The object Control Data and Control Statistics for EAFRD, EAGF is now implement under the menu option ‘Execution’. The quick guide is available [here](#).

Changes:

Operational programme (IGJ)

- OP IGJ (460009, 460006) - Two new type of Priorities (Natural disaster and SME) were added in the User’s interface and print. New validation rules for these type of priorities were also added (2.76 and 2.77) and other validation rules were changed to be applied on these priorities (2.11, 2.18, 2.24, 2.29, 2.30, 2.67):
 - New Validation Rules:
 - 2.76 validate when Priority Axis is dedicated to natural disasters that it only covers ERDF (error)
 - 2.77 validate when Programme contains a natural disasters Priority Axis that the total Union support on natural disasters over all Member State Programmes is <= 5% of the Total ERDF Union support over all Member State Programmes (warning)
 - Modified Validation Rules:
 - 2.11 validate that for each of the Categorisation Breakdowns (Tables 7-10 and 14-16), the total Union Support per Priority Axis and Fund equals the total Union Support (main+performance) per Priority Axis and Fund in Table 18a, and vice versa (error).

- 2.18 validate that there is at least one Indicator defined in the relevant Indicator Tables (error)
 - T3 one record for each PA, IP, SO (Only for ERDF, CF)(Warning)
 - T4 one record for each PA, IP (Only for ESF)(Warning)
 - T4a one record for each PA, IP (Only for YEI) (Warning)
 - T5 one record for each PA, IP (For all)(warning)
 - T6 one record (For all, except for priority axes containing only YEI, for SME programmes and SME priority axes and for TA programmes)(error)
 - T12 one record for each PA, SO (Only for ERDF, CF, ESF)(Warning)
 - T13 one record for each PA (Only for ERDF, CF, ESF)(Warning)
- 2.24 validate that SME Programmes and SME Priority Axes only use Thematic Objective 3 (error)
- 2.29 validate that there is no Performance Reserve amount specified in Tables 17 and 18a for YEI Programmes and Priority Axes, nor for SME Programmes, SME Priority Axes and TA Programmes (error)
- 2.30 validate in Table 18a, that for non-TA priority axes, non-YEI Priority Axes/Funds with a Union Support (A) > 0, the "Performance Reserve amount as proportion per Priority Axis (L)" is between 5% and 7%. Doesn't apply for SME Programmes, SME Priority Axes and TA Programmes. If the Union Support (A) in the current version decreased compared to the last adopted version then this rule is a (warning) else it is an (error)
- 2.67 validate for non-SME Programmes that the co-financing rate of each non-YEI ProgrammePriorityPlan record in Table 18a doesn't exceed the maximum allowed co-financing rate
- OP IGJ (453260) - New validation rules (2.79 to 2.82) were added to improve the data quality in view of a later Annual Implementation Report. Also the validation rule 2.54 was modified.
 - 2.79 validate that the 2023 Target Values (Total, Men and Women) per PA/IP in Table 4 and 4A on Common Result Indicators with a "measurement unit for baseline and target" = Number, and with an "Output Indicator as basis for target setting", are <= the 2023 Target Values (Total, Men and Women) for the same PA/IP of the referred Output Indicator in Table 5 (error)
 - "Total target value entered in table {0} is > total target value entered in table 5 for priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, region category: {3}, result indicator: {4}, output indicator: {5} {{6} > {7}}"
 - "Men target value entered in table {0} is > men target value entered in table 5 for priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, region category: {3}, result indicator: {4}, output indicator: {5} {{6} > {7}}"
 - "Women target value entered in table {0} is > women target value entered in table 5 for priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, region category: {3}, result indicator: {4}, output indicator: {5} {{6} > {7}}"
 - 2.80 validate that the 2023 Target Values (Total, Men and Women) per PA/IP in Table 4 and 4A on Programme Specific Quantitative Result Indicators with a "measurement unit for baseline and target" = Number, and with an "Output Indicator as basis for target setting", are <= the 2023 Target Values (Total, Men and Women) for the same PA/IP of the referred Output Indicator in Table 5 (warning)
 - "Total target value entered in table {0} is > total target value entered in table 5 for priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, region category: {3}, result indicator: {4}, output indicator: {5} {{6} > {7}}"

- "Men target value entered in table {0} is > men target value entered in table 5 for priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, region category: {3}, result indicator: {4}, output indicator: {5} {{6} > {7}}"
- "Women target value entered in table {0} is > women target value entered in table 5 for P priority axis A: {1}, investment priority: {2}, region category: {3}, result indicator: {4}, output indicator: {5} {{6} > {7}}"
- 2.81 validate in Table 7 on Priorities covering ESF/YEI that the "Intervention Field" code (102 to 120 only) is the equivalent of an IP covered by the PA/Fund (error)
 - "In table 7 for ESF/YEI, the intervention field with dimension code "{0}" of priority axis "{1}", Fund "{2}" and category of region "{3}" needs to correspond to one of the investment priorities of that priority axis.
- 2.82 validate in Table 7 on Priorities covering ESF/YEI that a record exists for each "Intervention Field" code representing the Investment Priority covered by the PA/Fund (error)
 - "In table 7 for ESF/YEI, there is no intervention field corresponding to investment priority {0}.
- Modified validation rule 2.54 - validate that the intervention codes used in Table 7 and Table 14 (Dimension 1 – Intervention field) are in accordance with the Fund of the Priority Axis (error)
 - Codes 001 to 101 shall only apply to the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund,
 - Codes 102 to 120 shall only apply to the ESF and ERDF, except for Code 103 which can also apply to YEI,
 - Codes 121, 122 and 123 shall apply to the ERDF, the Cohesion Fund and the ESF and only under Technical Assistance Priority Axes.
 - "In table 7, the intervention field with dimension code "{0}" of priority axis "{1}", Fund "{2}" and region category "{3}" shall only be applied to the Fund(s) "{4}".
 - "In table 7, the intervention field with dimension code "{0}" of priority axis "{1}", Fund "{2}" and region category "{3}" shall only be applied to the Fund(s) "{4}" and under a technical assistance priority axis.

Implementation report (IGJ, ETC, IPA-CB,EMFF)

- IR IGJ ETC IPACB EMFF (451019) – The Parts B and Part C are now disabled in light years. Remark: The Macro regional and Sea Basin Strategies (14.4 IGJ, 11.3 ETC/IPACBC, 16 EMFF) have been left out for this disable because they must be enabled at all time.

Implementation report (IGJ, ETC, IPA-CB)

- IR IGJ ETC IPACB (457384) - There were changes in Validation rule 2.62 checking that all Project fields were filled and it should only apply when the Project is not withdrawn:
 - 2.62 validate that Table 7 on Major Projects contains all Major Projects (based on CCI) linked to this Programme and that all Project fields are not null when the Project Implementation Status is different from "5 - Withdrawn and kept as a non-major project in the OP" or "6 - Withdrawn and taken out of the OP" (Error)

Implementation report (AMIF,ISF)

- IR AMIF ISF (457138) – There is now an extra validation rule to make section 8 mandatory
 - 2.12 validate that in Section 8 there is a commitment amount on all records (error)

Implementation report (AMIF)

- IR AMIF (457136) – There was a change in the Table in Section 4.1 on Resettlement: the "Total union priorities" line and the "Grand total" line were repeated for each accounting year and the columns represented pledging periods now were configured to display a column per accounting year and only one occurrence of the "Total Union priorities" and the "Grand total" lines. This change is now in Print as well.

Quarterly declaration of expenditure (EAFRD)

- RDP DOE (451421) - A legend for budget code source types (column SFC_EAFRD_PAPVER_EXPCOR.FROM_PREVIOUS_VER in database) is now displayed in each measure expenditures/corrections table of the Quarterly declaration of expenditure.
- RDP DOE (459733) – The section 'Financial instruments' is now also available in the case that the Member State selects the article 59(4)(h) in the linked Rural development programme. Also, the 'amount of programme contributions paid to FI' = column (3), is now automatically calculated based on the 'Expenditures & adjustments' tables by measure.

Accounts (AMIF,ISF)

- ACC AMIF ISF (459083, 458858, 459088) - While defining a PR Project in the Accounts AMFISF, the user is now able to select pre-defined keywords. Extra validation rules were also implemented to enforce that:
 - 2.57 validate on PR Projects that $nvl(\text{Keyword } 1,1) \neq nvl(\text{Keyword } 2,2) \neq nvl(\text{Keyword } 3,3)$ (error)
 - 2.58 validate on PR Projects that at least 1 Keyword is selected (error)(implicit in web)
 - 2.59 validate when ISF PR Project under SO5, that $\text{BasicProjectAmfIsf.isPnrProject}$ is not null (error)(implicit in web)
 - 2.60 validate when ISF PR Project under SO5, that $\text{BasicProjectAmfIsf.isInteroperabilityProject}$ is not null (error)(implicit in web)
 - 2.61 validate when AMIF PR Project under SO2, that $\text{BasicProjectAmfIsf.isRegionalProject}$ is not null (error)(implicit in web)
 - 2.62 validate when AMIF PR Project under SO3, that $\text{BasicProjectAmfIsf.enhancesEffectiveness}$ is not null

Accounts (EAFRD,EAGF)

- ACC EAFRD EAGF – There is now in the Accounts (EAFRD, EAGF) a documents overview section containing 3 tables: the first one list the documents uploaded in the current version, the second one list the documents sent in the previous version and the last one list the documents not yet sent (list of all documents minus the list of the second table).

Annual control report (ALL)

- ACR (314931) – The Compare Functionality is now introduced for the Annual control report.

Documents

- DOC (453350) – Now for the document types requiring acknowledgment of receipt, the user is allowed to read the attachment(s) only after the acknowledgement is done.

Defects fixed:

Operational programme (IGJ)

- OP IGJ (461331) - The Compare of Tables 25 & 26 in the Print was showing differences, caused by different order of records. This has now been fixed.

Cooperation programme (IPA-CB)

- CP IPACB (459445) – The 2.2.5.1 indicator was not shown correctly. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (ALL)

- IR (458001) – There was a communication problem error when users were trying to send the Implementation Report. This is a heavy process because the report takes a long time to be generated. This has now been fixed.

Implementation report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ (460232) – In the Implementation Reports IGJ, the financial products fields 27 and 28 were not shown in the report for Direct Management Financial Instruments. Also the headers for section VIII and 38 were shown in the web and report even when there was no content for the section. This has now been fixed.
- IR IGJ (457985) - The table2b was missing for the Annual Implementation Report 2017 version. This has now been fixed.

Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)

- AIR RDP (460082) – In the snapshot report, the section 3c was duplicated. This has now been fixed.
- AIR RDP (460176) - The realised value of table B3 2014 was not taken into account in section 1b. This has now been fixed.
- AIR RDP (460526) – The section 5 does not apply for the Annual Implementation Report 2017 and was therefore deactivated in the snapshot.
- AIR RDP (459238) – The amounts for fields 23.01 in section 10 / thematic objectives were correctly formatted.

Accounts (ALL)

- ACC ALL (457072) – The user could not send the Accounts to the European Commission because although the status was "Ready to Send" there were no "Send" link because the validation rule 2.9 was not working as expected. This has now been fixed.

Notifications

- NOT (460289) - The notifications weren't working properly because the perform React method didn't have all the event parameters. This has now been fixed.