# New Release 12/03/2018 SFC2014 FrontOffice 2.13.2

NEW FUNCTIONALITIES:	1
Transitory Joint Action Plan	1
IRRECOVERABLE AMOUNTS (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,FEAD,IPACBC)	
CHANGES:	
Partnership agreement	2
Programmes (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB,EMFF)	2
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ)	2
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EMFF)	
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ETC,IPA-CB)	
Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)	
QUARTERLY DECLARATION OF EXPENDITURE (IPA-RD)	
FINANCIAL DATA (IGJ,ETC)	
ACCOUNTS (IGJ,ETC,IPACBC,EMFF,FEAD)	
ACCOUNTS (AMIF,ISF)	
ANNUAL CONTROL REPORT (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,FEAD,IPA-CB)	
EMPLOYMENT STATUS (EGF)	
DOCUMENTS	6
DEFECTS FIXED:	6
Operational programme (IGJ)	6
Operational programme (IGJ,ETC)	
MAJOR PROJECT NOTIFICATION (ART. 102(1) AND 103)/ MAJOR PROJECT SUBMISSION (ART. 102(2))	
FINANCIAL DATA (IGJ,ETC)	
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ)	
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ETC)	
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (FEAD)	
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (AMIF,ISF)	
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD)	
ACCOUNTS (IGJ,ETC,IPACBC,EMFF,FEAD)	
ACCOUNTS (IGJ,ETC)	7
ACCOUNTS (IPA-CB)	7
ACCOUNTS (AMIF)	8
ACCOUNTS (ISF)	8
ACCOUNTS (AMIF,ISF)	8
ACCOUNTS (EAFRD,EAGF)	
ANNUAL CONTROL REPORT (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,FEAD,IPA-CB)	9
Annual control report (IGJ,ETC)	9
NATIONAL AUDIT REPORT	9
National audit report (IGJ,ETC)	9
EMPLOYMENT STATUS (EGF)	9
EVALUATION REPORT (AMIF,ISF)	9
DOCUMENTS	_
Report	9
HISTORY	10

## **New Functionalities:**

## Transitory joint action plan

• JAP (438578) - A new document group is now created and 3 referring document types (JAP.JAP Joint action plan; JAP.OCO Official Commission Observations; JAP.ACC Acceptance letter) for the Transitory joint action plan. Users need MS Managing Authority or EC Operational Agent to access it.

### Irrecoverable Amounts (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,FEAD,IPACBC)

IRA (425314) - The Irrecoverable Amounts is now implemented for Web Services as well.

## **Changes:**

### Partnership agreement

• PA (412116) - The Member State user is now allowed to request for a withdrawal of a Partnership Agreement version and the European Commission User to perform the withdrawal.

### Programmes (IGJ,ETC,IPA-CB,EMFF)

• OP IGJ ETC IPACB EMFF (428750) - In order to allow to modify annual amounts in the annual plan for years <= N-4 the following validation rules were modified:

IGJ

2.16 validate for subsequent major versions that compared to the previous major version the EU Support in closed previous years have not been modified (error), except in years <= N-4 (warning)
2.30 validate in Table 18a, that for non-TA priority axes, non-YEI Priority Axes/Funds with a Union Support (A) > 0, the "Performance Reserve amount as proportion per Priority Axis (L)" is between 5% and 7%. Doesn't apply for SME and TA Programmes. If the Union Support (A) in the current version decreased compared to the last adopted version then this rule is a (warning) else it is an (error)

#### **ETC**

2.16 validate for subsequent major versions that compared to the previous major version the EU Support in closed previous years have not been modified (error), except in years <= N-4 (warning)

#### **IPACBC**

2.16 validate for subsequent major versions that compared to the previous major version the EU Support in closed previous years have not been modified (error), except in years <= N-4 (warning)

#### **EMFF**

2.17 validate for subsequent major versions that compared to the previous major version the EU Support in closed previous years have not been modified (error), except in years <= N-4 (warning)
2.27 validate in the Financial Plan by Union Priority (8.2), that the EMFF Performance Reserve amount per Union Priority is between 5% and 7% of the EMFF contribution, performance reserve included. Except for Technical Assistance which has no Performance Reserve. If the EMFF contribution in the current version decreased compared to the last adopted version then this rule is a (warning) else it is an (error)

### Implementation report (IGJ)

- IR IGJ (445642) The In table 12, the Date of signature of first works contract became mandatory so in the edit screen it shows a red asterisk. It also became a mandatory element in the web service model.
- IR IGJ (445100) The tables 8 and 9, the calculation of 'share' columns changed to take into account the performance reserve.
- IR IGJ (445550) From AIR2017 onwards the reporting on the ex-ante conditionalities is not required anymore. Therefore, the Sections 9 till 13 was disabled on EACs in the web and web service. Also it will not copy from previous version anymore on create or create new version.
- IR IGJ (441465) The validation rules 2.44 and 2.45 are now also applied on Table 2B. There are also new validation rules 2.48-2.56:

- 2.44 validate in Table 2A and 2B per Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region when a Common Result Indicator has a Common Output Indicator used as a basis for target setting and a "Cumulative Value Total/Men/Women" > 0, that the "Cumulative Value Total/Men/Women" of that Output Indicator in Table 4A for the same Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region is > 0 (error). => "For result indicator in table {0} with an common output Indicator used as a basis for target setting, and cumulative values total/men/women > 0, the cumulative values total/men/women in table 4A for that common output indicator must also be > 0 (priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, region category: {3}, result indicator: {4}, output indicator: {5})"
- 2.45 validate in Table 2A and 2B per Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region when a Common Result Indicator has a Common Output Indicator used as a basis for target setting and a "Cumulative Value Total/Men/Women" is <= the "Cumulative Value Total/Men/Women" of that Output Indicator in Table 4A for the same Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region (error). => "For result indicator in table {0} with an common output indicator used as a basis for target setting, the values for cumulative value total/men/women must be less or equal than the same values in table 4A for that common output indicator (priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, region category: {3}, result indicator: {4}, output indicator: {5})
- 2.48 validate in Table 1 for ERDF/CF when Target value > Baseline, that the Annual Total values are >= Baseline value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5} ({6} < {7}). Please check."
- 2.49 validate in Table 1 for ERDF/CF when Target value > Baseline, that the Annual Total values are <= Target value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5} ({6} > {7}). Please check."
- 2.50 validate in Table 1 for ERDF/CF when Target value < Baseline, that the Annual Total values are <= Baseline value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is greater than the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5} ({6} > {7}). Please check."
- 2.51 validate in Table 1 for ERDF/CF when Target value < Baseline, that the Annual Total values are >= Target value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is below the target value for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5} ({6} < {7}). Please check."
- 2.52 validate in Table 3A for ERDF/CF that the Annual Total value for "S" is <= Total Target value (warning). => "In table 3A, the annual total value entered is {0}% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5}. Please check."
- 2.53 validate in Table 3A for ERDF/CF that the Annual Total value for "F" is <= Total Target value (warning). => "In table 3A, the annual total value entered is {0}% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5}. Please check."
- 2.54 validate in Table 3A for ERDF/CF that the Annual Total value for "F" is <= the Annual Total value for "S" for the same Indicator, Category of Region and Year (warning). => "In table 3A, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is {0}% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, indicator: {3}, region category: {4}, year: {5}. Please check."
- 2.55 validate in Table 3B that the Number of enterprises receiving support (CO01) is >= MAX of CO02, CO03, CO04 and CO05 (Error). => "In table 3B, the number of enterprises receiving support (CO01) cannot be less than the highest of the individual values of CO02, CO03, CO04 and CO05 ({0} < {1})"
- 2.56 validate in Table 3B that the SUM of COO2, COO3, COO4 and COO5 is >= Number of enterprises receiving support (COO1) (Warning). => "In table 3B, the sum of COO2, COO3, COO4 and COO5 is less than the number of enterprises receiving support (COO1) ({0} < {1})"

### Implementation report (EMFF)

• IR EMFF (445213) – There is a change in the calculation of the share column in table 5.

### Implementation report (ETC,IPA-CB)

- IR ETC IPACB (441466) New validation rules (2.48-2.54) are now implemented:
  - 2.48 validate in Table 1 when Target value > Baseline, that the Annual Total values are >= Baseline value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is below the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, year: {4} ({5} < {6}). Please check."
  - 2.49 validate in Table 1 when Target value > Baseline, that the Annual Total values are <= Target value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 exceeds the target value for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, year: {4} ({5} > {6}). Please check."
  - 2.50 validate in Table 1 when Target value < Baseline, that the Annual Total values are <= Baseline value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is greater than the baseline value and moving away from the target for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, year: {4} ({5} > {6}). Please check."
  - 2.51 validate in Table 1 when Target value < Baseline, that the Annual Total values are >= Target value (warning). => "Annual value entered in table 1 is below the target value for priority axis: {0}, investment priority: {1}, specific objective: {2}, indicator: {3}, year: {4} ({5} < {6}). Please check."</li>
  - 2.52 validate in Table 2 that the Annual Total value for "S" is <= Total Target value (warning). => "In table 3A, the annual total value entered is {0}% of the total target value for "S", priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, indicator: {3}, year: {4}. Please check."
  - 2.53 validate in Table 2 that the Annual Total value for "F" is <= Total Target value (warning). => "In table 3A, the annual total value entered is {0}% of the total target value for "F", priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, indicator: {3}, year: {4}. Please check."
  - 2.54 validate in Table 2 that the Annual Total value for "F" is <= the Annual Total value for "S" for the same Indicator, Category of Region and Year (warning). => "In table 3A, the annual total value entered for "F" (implemented) is {0}% of the annual total value entered for "S" (forecast from selected) for priority axis: {1}, investment priority: {2}, indicator: {3}, year: {4}. Please check."

### Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)

• AIR RDP (444194) — The Table A is not prefilled anymore with BAIDP values starting from the Annual Implementation Report 2017 as it has been cancelled by the 'omnibus regulation'.

## Quarterly declaration of expenditure (IPA-RD)

- PAP IPARD (443247) The validation rules 228 and 502 were implemented:
  - 228 Validate that at least one official in charge of the Member State exists (Warning)
  - 502 Validate that all integral documents have at least one attachment with a length > 0 (error)
- PAP IPARD (442862) Early warnings notifications for the Quarterly declaration of expenditure (IPA-RD) were implemented. A scheduling module will generate the following events which will be propagated by the notification module:
  - At the first day of the Declaration Period generate event "Beginning of Declaration Period for YYYYQN"
  - At the 20th day of the Declaration Period generate event "Declaration YYYYQN is missing"
  - Every day between the 25th and the last day of the Declaration Period generate event "Declaration YYYYQN is still missing and Declaration Period will be closed at dd/mm/yyyy".

### Financial data (IGJ,ETC)

- FD IGJ ETC (443279) validation rule 2.19 for YEI has been changed:
  - 2.19 validate in Table 2 for IGJ for YEI that codes are entered for Intervention Field, Form of Finance,
    Territorial Type, Territorial Delivery Mechanism, Economic Dimension and Location, and that Thematic
    Objective is null (error) => "In table 2 for YEI, intervention field, form of finance, territorial type, territorial
    delivery mechanism, economic dimension and location are mandatory and thematic objective must be
    empty".

### Accounts (IGJ,ETC,IPACBC,EMFF,FEAD)

- ACC IGJ ETC IPACBC EMFF FEAD (444753) Post Warning 16/02 will not be sent to those who requested an
  extension of the deadline.
- ACC IGJ ETC IPACBC EMFF FEAD (443734) Validation rule 2.4 was triggered before when new programme
  versions were created after the creation date of the Accounts, having a decision date still falling within the
  conditions for the decision date. Now the validation rule 2.4 was modified to only consider adopted programme
  versions which have been adopted before the creation date of the initial accounts version of that year.
  - 2.4 validate that the Accounts version is linked to the last adopted version of the Programme with a Decision Date smaller or equal to the Accounting Period End Date and with a start of eligibility date <= accounting period end date and with an end of eligibility period >= accounting period start date and with an "Adopted by EC/MS" Status date < creation date of the initial Accounts version of that year. If such Programme version doesn't exist it needs to be linked to first adopted version. (error) (implicit in web)

### Accounts (AMIF,ISF)

 ACC AMIF ISF (441338) - There is a change in the formatting of Section B, Payment table (Column 3): Under Projects & Accounts > B. Accounting data. The cell data is now vertically aligned (central) and horizontally aligned (middle).

### Annual control report (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,FEAD,IPA-CB)

 ACR IGJ ETC EMFF FEAD IPACB (445071, 446308) - A read Restrict was set on document types CR.FPL, CR.RFC and CR.OCO so that the EC user can indicate that the document is only for auditors or only for managing authorities or both. As from now the Certifying authority has read permission on ACR (menu and object).

### **Employment status (EGF)**

- ES EGF (445624) Extra statistical information:
  - 1. under the table in sections 2.1 and 2.3 has been added:
    - a. "total of assisted workers at the end of implementation" --> to be taken from the final report
    - b. "total of assisted workers 12 months after " --> to be summed up by SFC
  - 2. columns have been added calculating % of total and % of women, similar to the sections 3.5 and 3.11, both for workers and NEETs
  - 3. The position of the columns has been re-arranged as follows:
    - 1. Number of workers (at the end of implementation period)
    - 2. Number of women workers (at the end of implementation period)
    - 3. Number of workers (12 months after)
    - 4. % of total
    - 5. Number of women workers (12 months after)

- 6. % of women
- 4. For cases which have NEETs, a new section (Section 2) has been created which calculates the total beneficiaries = workers+NEETs
- ES EGF (444782) The wording of some of the messages has been changed.
  - a. " completion of the assistance" and "6 months after" has been changed everywhere with "at the end of implementation period"
  - b. Titles of :
    - i. Section 2.1 "Employment status of workers who actually benefited from assistance under this application 12 months after the end of implementation period"
    - ii. Section 2.3 "Employment status of NEETs who actually benefited from assistance under this application 12 months after the end of implementation period "
  - c. The titles for columns 1 and 3 (data which is taken automatically from the final report):
    - i. "Number of workers assisted (at the end of implementation period)"
    - ii. "Number of women workers assisted (at the end of implementation period)"

#### **Documents**

• DOC (442417) - The download buttons for the attachments are now ordered accordingly to the document attachment name.

## **Defects fixed:**

### Operational programme (IGJ)

OP IGJ (442341) – An error was occurring while doing the Validation. This has now been fixed.

### Operational programme (IGJ,ETC)

• OP IGJ ETC (434084) – when there's no order By number specified in the priority axes, the non-TA priority axes are listed on top in the tables as expected. As from now the system will sort the priority axes on the PA code, independently if it is TA or non-TA. Tables impacted for IGJ are: 2, 18a, 18c, 19, 21, 28 and for ETC are: 2, 16, 17, 18, 20, 24

### Major project notification (Art.102(1) and 103)/ Major project submission (Art. 102(2))

• MPN MPS (443954) - In the Major Project Submission/Notification only the Member State user can ask for a withdraw of the Major Project version. During that withdraw the user can add a document of type "Withdrawal justification letter". The label name above the document information was corrected from "Document to be sent with this action to the Member State" to "Document to be sent with this action to the Commission".

## Financial data (IGJ,ETC)

- FD IGJ ETC (442644, 445540) Validation rule 2.29 was being triggered incorrectly for OPs without a 'Category of Region' field. This has now been fixed.
- FD IGJ ETC (441956) Validation rules 2.30 and 2.31 were not comparing correctly with the last submitted Annual Implementation Report on common Result or Common Output indicator values. This has now been fixed.

• FD IGJ ETC (440046) - When the categorization sheet contains multiple records with the same key combination but with different amounts, the upload didn't detect this problem and uploaded one record for all duplicates. Now the upload signals that the file contains duplicates and that only the first one or last one will be uploaded.

### Implementation report (IGJ)

 IR IGJ (445537) – In the print, the Header Paragraph 16 was displayed twice and the PART C Header was missing but now it is inserted between Paragraph 14.6 and 15 and it states: PART C REPORTING SUBMITTED IN YEAR 2019 AND FINAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (Article 50(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

### Implementation report (ETC)

• IR ETC (445525) – In the print, the Footnote (1) on table 2 was missing and <<r.ir.etc.table4.header>> was shown under Table 4 header. Now the Footnote (1) under table 2 states: (1) S=Cumulative value — outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value — outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement] and the Sub-header under Table 4 states: As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal.

### Implementation report (FEAD)

• IR FEAD (444823) - Section 3 is now correctly shown for the years 2016, 2021 and final year.

### Implementation report (AMIF,ISF)

• IR AMIF ISF (445229) – The User was unable to create a new implementation report due to an error when a new programme indicator was added to the programme and an implementation report for another year already exists. This has now been fixed.

## Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)

 AIR RDP (428177) -The signature page was not correctly generated in Acknowledgment Monitoring Annex report. This has now been fixed.

#### Accounts (IGJ,ETC,IPACBC,EMFF,FEAD)

• ACC IGJ ETC IPACBC EMFF FEAD (441915) - When retrieving data from related Final Payment Application the system now always uses the last version at the European Commission level instead of the first version.

### Accounts (IGJ,ETC)

• ACC IGJ ETC (443064) - The validation rule 2.30 was running twice for YEI fund (once together with ESF and once individually). Now it runs only with ESF as it should.

### Accounts (IPA-CB)

ACC IPACB (442804) - The priority axis was missing from Appendix 8. This has now been fixed.

### **Accounts (AMIF)**

- ACC AMIF (442866) An error was happening during the acceptation of JPA objects. This happened because the
  user was trying to reopen an older object. This object had JPA parameters in the workflow as variables. This has
  now been fixed.
- ACC AMIF (443671) The payment amount for relocation Project ST in the Accounting Data and in the Data Summary Tables didn't contain FROM/TO relocations which did not exist in the linked Programme version. Now the same rule to retrieve the lump sum as implemented in the Transfer/Relocation screen is also applied in the Accounting Data and in the Data Summary Tables.
- ACC AMIF (441366) Payments data was not shown in the print of AMIF/ISF Accounts. This has now been fixed.
- ACC AMIF (443485) Validation rule 2.54 was wrongly triggered because it was testing. This has now been fixed.
- ACC AMIF (441761) The expected ticks for the Eligible projects in 'D. Data summary Account declaration' were missing. This has now been fixed.

### Accounts (ISF)

• ACC ISF (443828) - The snapshot and acknowledge reports for ISF were the AMIF ones. This has now been fixed.

### Accounts (AMIF,ISF)

- ACC AMIF ISF (443373) SO specific Project attributes were not reset when changing SO of a Project and therefore triggered validation errors 2.51-2.54. This has now been fixed.
- ACC AMIF ISF (442904) Whenever a new account version was created then in the log files it would show some text as Errors. Now it has changed to information (INFO).
- ACC AMIF ISF (442344) Requirement UC-EXE-04-03-15-03 was not well implemented generating an error. This has now been fixed.

**UC-EXE-04-03-15-03**: The Lump Sum is taken from the SpecialCaseDetailAmf from the linked Programme version. When the Transfer or Relocation Type for the From/To combination doesn't exist in the linked Programme version, take the Lump Sum for

- "Transfer" from the "Relocation To" Lump Sum in prg.amf.relocation.to.lumpsum (currently €6000),
- "Relocation" from relocationQuota.fromLumpSum/.toLumpSum for the "From/To" Countries, the Pledging Period and Relocation Type.
- ACC AMIF ISF (444114) In Accounts AMIF & ISF it has been observed that the Eligible column was pre-selected
  under Section D Account Declaration, which should only be possible when an European Commission User ticked
  on it while accepting it. Now the eligibility is no longer pre-selected or shown on the User's interface or in the
  Print report.
- ACC AMIF ISF (445601) In Accounts AMIF & ISF, when a European Commission User clicked on 'Accept' an error would be displayed. This has now been fixed.
- ACC AMIF ISF (445339) The Annual Total in the second table of Overall Summary in Section III.C always contained Technical Assistance even when there was no FOTSC in section I.C. This has now been fixed.
- ACC AMIF ISF (445630) The validation rule 2.55 also was also checking the Accounts versions from the same
  Accounting year which was wrong. Now it only checks the project in the last Accounts version at Commission
  level with accounting year < current Account version.</li>

### Accounts (EAFRD, EAGF)

 ACC EAFRD EAGF (438562) –The snapshot report and validation rules display the docgroup I18n instead of the docgroup description. This has now been fixed.

### Annual control report (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,FEAD,IPA-CB)

ACR IGJ ETC EMFF FEAD IPACB (444769) - The validation rule 2.22 was changed to no longer verify that the
document has been upload when the total grand total of Appendix 1 over all Funds covered is > 0. Also now, for
the validation rule 2.20, the account used in the comparison doesn't have to be at The European Commission
level.

### Annual control report (IGJ,ETC)

• ACR IGJ ETC (440741) - The validation rule 2.20 text was displaying "({0})" instead of proper data value. This has now been fixed.

### National audit report

 NAR (446605) - Users were unable to create a document type 'Other Member State Document' when the National audit report was on the European Commission node because of a wrong check for the edit permission. This has now been fixed.

### National audit report (IGJ,ETC)

• NAR (443075) - When performing one of the Commission actions INC, ANO, AOB and ACT, the system requires to upload a document which was not in line with the requirements. This has now been fixed.

### **Employment status (EGF)**

• ES EGF (441433) - In Employment Status (EGF) when User clicked on Link for the notification mail a blank browser page would open with a busy icon running on it without timing out and it wouldn't work (no notification mail). This has now been fixed.

### **Evaluation report (AMIF,ISF)**

- ER AMIF ISF (442616) The Total Paid % for Special Cases such as Pledges (Union priorities + Others) was not correct. This has now been fixed.
- ER AMIF ISF (441908) The Final Payment projects sum now also includes OB+OV type of projects as it should and not only normal projects as it was before.
- ER AMIF ISF (440010) When the User performed a search on the Evaluation Report by choosing some text in the TITLE field an error occurred. This has now been fixed.

#### **Documents**

- DOC (443023) The user could not upload an attachment that should be allowed due to a bug in the validation. This has now been fixed.
- DOC (443024) The restrict read was up until now only used on standalone or referring document types. This is now also possible on integral documents.

#### Report

• REPORT (441722) - When the User created a WORD Report within any SFC object, it was showing information that should not be there such as: Author name, Last Modified By and Date. This has now been fixed.

# History

History		
•	HISTORY (445172) - The history records were no longer sorted by modification date since the migration to java 8 This has now been fixed.	