

# New Release 12/07/2017 SFC2014 FrontOffice 2.10.0

<b>NEW FUNCTIONALITIES:</b> .....	<b>1</b>
PROGRESS REPORT .....	1
<b>CHANGES:</b> .....	<b>1</b>
MAJOR PROJECT SUBMISSION (ART. 102(2)).....	1
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ) .....	1
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EGF).....	2
<b>DEFECTS FIXED:</b> .....	<b>2</b>
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (IGJ) .....	2
OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME (FEAD) .....	2
RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (EAFRD).....	2
DESIGNATION OF AUTHORITIES (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,IPA-CB,FEAD,AMIF,ISF).....	2
APPLICATION (EGF).....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (IGJ) .....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ETC, IGJ) .....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (FEAD) .....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ETC) .....	3
IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (ETC,IPACBC) .....	3
ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (EAFRD) .....	3
FINAL REPORT (EGF).....	4
FINANCIAL DATA (IPA-CB, IGJ) .....	4

## New Functionalities:

### *Progress Report*

- PRR (404534, 404531) - Progress Report on the implementation of the Partnership Agreement is now implemented under menu option 'Monitoring' (Both for web and print). The quick guide is available [here](#).

## Changes:

### *Major project submission (Art. 102(2))*

- MP (406702) - There is a new validation rule 2.69 checking if there is an answer on the field F.3.3 and only filling in the Member State name when answer is 'YES'.

### *Implementation Report (IGJ)*

- IR IGJ (409996) - On section 3.3, Table 5, there are 22 columns and 27 rows which were not fully visible, even on High-Resolution (1920x1080) screen, making it difficult to the User to realize which columns he/she was working on. Now, as a solution, the Header of the table freezes and the scrolling is done within a frame of table in both way: horizontal / vertical.
- IR IGJ (409793) - Validation rules 2.46 and 2.47 were modified as you can only compare indicator values when having the same measurement unit:
  - 2.46            validate in Table 2C per Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region when a Programme Specific Result Indicator with measurement unit "Number" has an Output Indicator used as a basis for target setting with a measurement unit "Number" and a "Reporting Year Cumulative Value Total/Men/Women" > 0, that the "Cumulative Value Total/Men/Women" of that Output Indicator in

*Table 4A (Common) or in Table 4B (Programme Specific) for the same Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region is > 0 (error)*

- 2.47 *validate in Table 2C per Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region when a Programme Specific Result Indicator with measurement unit "Number" has an Output Indicator used as a basis for target setting with measurement unit "Number" and a "Reporting Year Cumulative Value total/Men/Women", that this "Reporting Year Cumulative Value Total/Men/Women" is <= the "Cumulative Value Total/Men/Women" of that Output Indicator in Table 4A (Common) or in Table 4B (Programme Specific) for the same Priority Axis/Investment Priority/Category of Region (error)*

## **Implementation Report (EGF)**

- IR EGF (403605, 403612) - The layout of the tables of the tables in Section 2.1, 2.2 in Section 2.1 was changed (Both for web and print).
- IR EGF (402827) - Automatic reminder for the sending of the Evaluation report is now set saying that : *"According to Article 7 of Commission Implementing Decision, ....(name of MS) shall make available to the Commission all necessary information regarding the actions supported by the EGF and the beneficiaries of each action, in particular the employment status of the beneficiaries in March 2020, with a breakdown by sex and by category of worker Employment status of the beneficiaries in ....(date = end of implementation period + 12 months)"*

## **Defects fixed:**

### **Operational programme (IGJ)**

- OP IGJ (263715) - In indicators tables 3, 4, 5, when the user selects a programme indicator and let one of the required fields empty, the selected programme indicator disappears of the list and that field is left empty. This has now been fixed.

### **Operational programme (FEAD)**

- OP FEAD (408616) - The FEAD Programmes now have for each Material Deprivation Type, 2 records in the Financial Plan by type, one for the Deprivation type and one for the accompanying measures as in accordance to the requirements.

### **Rural development programme (EAFRD)**

- RDP (410812) - Context indicator values encoded by user were disappearing as seen by comparing the snapshot with the user interface. This has now been fixed.
- RDP (313723, 330328) - Table for amendment was not correctly ordered. The difference between Table of content and Table for amendment description is now clearer.
- RDP (411290) - Now when modifying the title of a Thematic sub-programmes (section 20) the order of items of section 10.4 is also re-ordered.

### **Designation of authorities (IGJ,ETC,EMFF,IPA-CB,FEAD,AMIF,ISF)**

- DOA (409331) – When the Designation of authorities is sent to the commission, the event that should be generated for the notification module to inform interested parties that the status of the Designation of authorities changed is Notify minor changes status. Instead it sends the wrong event that the document has been sent to the commission. This has now been fixed.

### ***Application (EGF)***

- AP EGF (409875) - The report was using "Double Quotation" causing truncation of the text. This has now been fixed.
- AP EGF (411055) - The section E.1 was not displaying numbers correctly when targeted young persons not in education, employment or training (NEETs) were not present. This has now been fixed.

### ***Implementation Report (IGJ)***

- IR IGJ (407312) - In table 2C an error occurred on saving when measurement fields have non-empty values and the user introduced very big annual values.
- IR IGJ (409118) - The rows from 14.4 section C were removed.
- IR IGJ (408765) - The data in table 5 for version 2015 was wrong. This has now been corrected.

### ***Implementation Report (ETC, IGJ)***

- IR ETC IGJ (409638) - When a new Implementation Report was created, the data in table 4a was populated with the existing values in the programme version. But the calculated values, as the cumulative total, should be calculated in the new Implementation Report. The problem is that those values were only calculated when the User editing and saving the table 4a. Now they are calculated also after the creating of the Implementation Report.
- IR ETC IGJ (409575) - In the case that there were entries in tables 2A or 2C with region category = null, the validation was failing. This has now been fixed.
- IR ETC IGJ (408988) - The list of funds in details of 'Actions or mechanisms' of strategies, section macro-regional strategies (IGJ section 14.4, ETC section 11.3) contained more funds than necessary. This has now been corrected.

### ***Implementation Report (FEAD)***

- IR FEAD (407957) - Section 3 is now only shown in the 2016, 2021 and Final Implementation Report.

### ***Implementation Report (ETC)***

- IR ETC (408791) - The table 3 data was corrected for version 2015

### ***Implementation Report (ETC,IPACBC)***

- IR ETC IPACBC (409151) - The rows from 11.section c in the Implementation Report were removed.

### ***Annual Implementation Report (EAFRD)***

- RDP AIR (408949) - For table C (output and target), the Focus Area is no longer mandatory.
- RDP AIR (407818) - Validation output message was not displayed in the correct language. This has now been fixed.
- RDP AIR (410288) - The snapshot report couldn't be generated due to non-unique result indicator in section 7. This has now been fixed.
- RDP AIR (410823) - The user couldn't update the INITIAL VALUE of a context indicator in section 4.1.6 due to a mandatory field (year) in the UPDATED VALUE. This has now been fixed.

- RDP AIR (409927) - In Report on implementation of financial instruments (section 10), if no signature date is provided, previously encoded Financial Instruments are no longer displayed in the PDF snapshot.

### ***Final report (EGF)***

- FR EGF (409729) - "Authority submitting this report" and "address of authority" fields were present in the web part but were missing from the print. This has now been corrected.

### ***Financial data (IPA-CB, IGJ)***

- FD IPACB IGJ (384192) - When importing an Excel file for Table 2, the thematic priority/thematic objective were required fields when they should be optional. This has now been corrected.