Version 4.1.25 (21/06/2010)

CHANGES

- **Annual Implementation Report (Structural Funds and Cohesion Fund): Add new Financial Table for Annual Implementation Report only [SFC0700010856]**

  The new form for the financial reporting in the annual implementation report is now available in SFC 2007. The form follows the new simplified structure in accordance with modified Article 67 of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006. The form will be automatically pre-filled by SFC 2007 on the basis of the last expenditure claim submitted in the reporting year. This form is available as an option for the 2009 annual implementation reports.

  Financial information based on already declared and certified eligible expenditure (EUR)

  3 results found, displaying 1 to 3

Priority	Total funding of the OP (Union and national)	Basis for calculating Union contribution (Public or Total cost)	Total amount of certified eligible expenditure paid by beneficiaries(1) (in EUR)	Corresponding public contribution(1) (in EUR)	Implementation rate (in %)
	a	b	c	d	e=c/a if T or e=d/a if P
1. Operation of central and horizontal institutional system	255,339,698.00	P	0.00	0.00	0.00
2. Qualitative implementation tools	115,404,934.00	P	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grand Total	370,744,632.00	T	0.00	0.00	0.00

  (1) Figures expressed in cumulative terms.

- **Annual Implementation Report (Structural Funds and Cohesion Fund): Use of the option under Article 34(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006 is only for the final Report**

  The "Use is made of the option under Article 34(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006" is only shown for ERDF/CF and ESF Programmes for the **Final** Implementation Report (not for annual ones).

FIXES

- **Interim Payment Application: Validation rule 2.32 should not allow requested amounts <= 0 [SFC0700010362]**

    Rule 2.32 tests that the sum of requested amounts over the objectives covered by the Programme in the Application for Payment is not null and > 0 (ERDF/CF, ESF, EFF) (error)

    Rule was wrongly implemented as the test made by the system was wrong: <> 0 instead of >0. This is now corrected the test is >0 as expressed in the validation rule.

Version 4.1.25 (21/06/2010)